arXiv:1601.06256v3 [math.RT] 31 Jan 2016

COMPONENTS OF STABLE AUSLANDER–REITEN QUIVERS THAT CONTAIN NON-PERIODIC HELLER LATTICES OF STRING MODULES: THE CASE OF THE KRONECKER ALGEBRA $\mathcal{O}[X,Y]/(X^2,Y^2)$ OVER A COMPLETE D.V.R.

KENGO MIYAMOTO

Abstract. We consider the Kronecker algebra $A = \mathcal{O}[X,Y]/(X^2,Y^2)$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is a complete discrete valuation ring. Then, since $A\otimes\kappa$ is a symmetric special biserial algebra, where κ is the residue field of $\mathcal{O}$, we may give a complete list of Heller lattices. In this paper, we determine a component of the stable Auslander–Reiten quiver for the category of A-lattices that contains non-periodic Heller lattices of the string modules over $A\otimes\kappa$.

Contents

Acknowledgment 1
Introduction 1
1. Preliminaries 3
1.1. Almost split sequences 3
1.2. Stable Auslander–Reiten quivers 5
2. The Kronecker algebra and almost split sequences 7
2.1. Heller lattices of $\kappa[X,Y]/(X^2,Y^2)$ 7
2.2. Almost split sequence ending at Z_n 13
2.3. Almost split sequence ending at E_1 20
3. Candidates for a component of the stable AR quiver that contains Z_n 24
3.1. Valencies of vertices in $\mathcal{C}$ 25
3.2. Candidates for $\mathcal{C}$ 34
4. The main result 40
References 43

Acknowledgment

My heartfelt appreciation goes to Professor Susumu Ariki (Osaka Univ.) who provided helpful comments and suggestions. I would also like to thank Professor Shigeto Kawata (Osaka City Univ.), Professor Michihisa Wakui (Kansai Univ.), Dr. Ryoichi Kase (Nara Women's Univ.) and Dr. Liron Speyer (Osaka Univ.) whose meticulous comments were an enormous help to me.

Introduction

One of issues of the Auslander–Reiten theory is to give classification of modules. In the theory, we visualize the categories of modules by using Auslander–Reiten quivers, which encapsulate much information on indecomposable modules and irreducible morphisms.

Therefore describing Auslander–Reiten quivers for various algebras is one of the classical problems in the representation theory of algebras. However, in the case of algebras over a complete discrete valuation ring, there are few examples, since it is difficult to compute almost split sequences of such algebras.

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a complete discrete valuation ring, ε a uniformizer, $\mathcal{K}$ its fraction field and κ its residue field. In this paper, tensor products are taken over $\mathcal{O}$. Let A be a symmetric $\mathcal{O}$-order and mod-A the category of finitely generated right A-modules. An additive full subcategory of mod-A consisting of A-lattices is denoted by *latt-A*.

According to [AR], there exists an almost split sequence ending at M if and only if M is not a projective A-lattice and $M \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is projective as an $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$-module, and dually, there exists an almost split sequence starting at M if and only if M is not an injective A-lattice and $M \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is injective as an $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$-module. Since A is symmetric, an almost split sequence ending at M exists if and only if an almost split sequence starting at M exists. Here, we denote the property of A-lattices by ($\natural$)

($\natural$) $\qquad M \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is projective as an $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$-module.

Heller lattices, which are direct summands of the kernel of a projective cover of an indecomposable $A \otimes \kappa$-module viewed as an A-module, have the property ($\natural$). It provides the possibility that we may determine components of stable Auslander–Reiten quivers that contain Heller lattices. In [AKM], we presented the technique of constructing almost split sequences for A-lattices with ($\natural$), and determined such components for the truncated polynomial ring over $\mathcal{O}$. If $A \otimes \kappa$ is a special biserial algebra, that is, it is Morita equivalent to an algebra $\kappa Q/\mathcal{I}$, where Q is a quiver, such that:

(i) the number of incoming and outgoing arrows in Q is at most two for each vertex,
(ii) for each arrow α, there is at most one arrow β with $\alpha\beta \notin \mathcal{I}$,
(iii) for each arrow α, there is at most one arrow γ with $\gamma\alpha \notin \mathcal{I}$,
(iv) $\mathcal{I}$ is an admissible ideal,

then indecomposable $A \otimes \kappa$ modules are classified in string modules and band modules, and one can calculate a complete set of isoclasses of indecomposable modules [BR],[Erd] and the Heller lattices.

We denote by $\Gamma_s(A)$ the stable Auslander–Reiten quiver for *latt-A*, whose arrows are determined by almost split sequences for *latt-A*. Since A is symmetric, $\Gamma_s(A)$ is stable under the Auslander–Reiten translation. If a component, say $\mathscr{C}$, of $\Gamma_s(A)$ does not have loops, then one can apply the Riedtmann structure theorem (Theorem 1.9) to $\mathscr{C}$, and we have an isomorphism $\mathscr{C} \simeq \mathbb{Z}T/G$, where T is a directed tree and G is an admissible group (see Subsection 1.2). The underlying undirected tree $\overline{T}$ is called the tree class of $\mathscr{C}$. Thus, in order to know the shape of $\mathscr{C}$, we determine the tree class and the admissible group. A technique to determine the shape a valued quiver is to construct a subadditive function [HPR]. If $\mathscr{C}$ admits a subadditive function d, then $\overline{T}$ is one of Dynkin diagrams or Euclidean diagrams. On the other hand, according to [Z], if there exists a non-zero subadditive function with values in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ on a non-periodic connected stable translation quiver $\mathcal{Q}$, which admits loops, then $\mathcal{Q}$ is either smooth or of the shape of $\mathbb{Z}\Delta$ for some valued quiver Δ. Moreover, If $\mathcal{Q}$ has loops then $\mathcal{Q}$ is smooth. Therefore, the existence of loops is a point to determine $\mathscr{C}$.

In this paper, we consider the case of the **Kronecker algebra** $A = \mathcal{O}[X, Y]/(X^2, Y^2)$, and calculate Heller lattices and almost split sequences. The aim of this paper is to determine the unique component, say $\mathcal{C}$, of the stable Auslander–Reiten quiver that contains

non-periodic Heller lattices of the string $A \otimes \kappa$-modules. The main idea is to construct a function d', which is defined by the following formula:

$$d' : \mathcal{C} \ni X \longmapsto \sharp\{\text{non-projective indecomposable direct summands of } X \otimes \kappa\} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

and the function d' allows us to prove that $\mathcal{C}$ has no loops.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. **Almost split sequences.** In order to introduce the stable Auslander–Reiten quivers for Gorenstein $\mathcal{O}$-orders, we recall some notions of irreducible, minimal, and almost split morphisms. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be an abelian category with enough projectives, $\mathscr{C}$ an additive full subcategory that is closed under extensions and direct summands. We call a morphism in $\mathscr{C}$ a **section** when it admits a left inverse, and we call a morphism in $\mathscr{C}$ a **retraction** when it admits a right inverse. A morphism $f : L \to M$ in $\mathscr{C}$ is called **left minimal** if every $h \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathscr{C}}(M)$ satisfying the following diagram is an isomorphism:



and **left almost split** if it is not a section and for every $h \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(L, W)$ which is not a section, there exists u in $\mathscr{C}$ that makes the following diagram commutative:



Dually, a morphism $g : M \to N$ in $\mathscr{C}$ is called **right minimal** if every $h \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathscr{C}}(M)$ satisfying the following diagram is an isomorphism:



and **right almost split** if for every $h \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(W, N)$ which is not a retraction, there exists v in $\mathscr{C}$ that makes the following diagram commutative:



A morphism f is said to be **left minimal almost split** in $\mathscr{C}$ if f is both left minimal and left almost split, and dually f is said to be **right minimal almost split** in $\mathscr{C}$ if f is both right minimal and right almost split. Then the following proposition holds [A, Proposition 4.4].

1.1. **Proposition.** Let L, E and M be objects of $\mathscr{C}$. Then the following statements are equivalent for a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{g} E \xrightarrow{f} M \longrightarrow 0.$$

(1) f is right almost split in $\mathscr{C}$, and g is almost split in $\mathscr{C}$.
(2) f is minimal right almost split in $\mathscr{C}$.
(3) f is right almost split and $\mathrm{End}_{\mathscr{C}} L$ is local.
(4) g is minimal left almost split in $\mathscr{C}$.
(5) g is left almost split in $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathrm{End}_{\mathscr{C}} M$ is local.

We now define a particular type of short exact sequence. Recall that the **radical** $\operatorname{rad}\mathrm{Hom}_A(M,N)$ is defined by the following formula:

$$\operatorname{rad}\mathrm{Hom}_A(M,N) = \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(M,N) \mid 1 - gf \text{ is invertible for any } g \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(N,M)\},$$

where $M, N \in$ *latt-*A.

1.2. **Definition.** Let A be an $\mathcal{O}$-order and M, E, L objects of *latt-*A. A short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} M \longrightarrow 0$$

is called an **almost split sequence** ending at M if

(i) the epimorphism p is not a retraction,
(ii) L and M are indecomposable,
(iii) the epimorphism p induces the epimorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(X,p) : \mathrm{Hom}_A(X,E) \longrightarrow \operatorname{rad}\mathrm{Hom}_A(X,M)$$

for every indecomposable A-lattice X.

It follows from Proposition 1.1 that if there exists an almost split sequence ending at M with starting term L, then such sequences are uniquely determined up to isomorphism by M, and similarly, such sequences are uniquely determined up to isomorphism by L.

1.3. **Definition.** Let M and N be objects of *latt-*A. A morphism $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(M,N)$ is said to be an **irreducible morphism** provided that

(i) the morphism f is neither a section nor a retraction,
(ii) if there exists the following commutative diagram in *latt-*A, then either f_1 is a section or f_2 is a retraction.



1.4. **Lemma** ([ARS])**.** Let A be an $\mathcal{O}$-order and M an A-lattice. Suppose that M satisfies the property (♮). Then the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{\iota} E \xrightarrow{p} M \longrightarrow 0$$

is almost split if and only if ι and p are irreducible morphisms.

From now on, we denote an almost split sequence ending at M by $\mathscr{E}(M)$, and it is computed as follows [AKM, Proposition 1.14].

1.5. **Proposition.** Let A be a Gorenstein $\mathcal{O}$-order, M an indecomposable non-projective A-lattice with the property ($\natural$) and $p : P \to M$ its projective cover, ν the Nakayama functor on *latt-A*. For each homomorphism $\varphi : M \to \nu(M)$ in *latt-A*, we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & \nu(P) & \xrightarrow[\nu(p)]{} & \nu(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows, where E is the pullback along φ, and $L = D(\mathrm{Coker}(\mathrm{Hom}_A(p, A)))$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(1) The upper short exact sequence is almost split.
(2) The following three conditions hold.
  (i) the morphism φ does not factor through $\nu(p)$,
  (ii) L is an indecomposable A-lattice,
  (iii) the composition $\varphi \circ f$ factors through $\nu(p)$, for all $f \in \mathrm{rad}\,\mathrm{End}_A(M)$.

Note that, since $A = \mathcal{O}[X, Y]/(X^2, Y^2)$ is symmetric, the lower short exact sequence in the Proposition 1.5 becomes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(p) \longrightarrow P \xrightarrow{p} M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus the Auslander–Reiten translation is the syzygy functor on the stable category $\underline{\mathrm{mod}}$-A.

1.2. **Stable Auslander–Reiten quivers.** In this subsection we introduce stable Auslander–Reiten quivers for *latt-A* [AKM]. We recall some notions about translation quivers. A main reference for the notions is [Z].

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ be a quiver, where Q_0 and Q_1 are the set of vertices and arrows, respectively. If a map $v : Q_1 \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is given, the pair (Q, v) is called a **valued quiver**, and the values of the map v are called **valuations**. For an arrow $x \to y \in Q_1$, we write $v(x \to y) = (d_{xy}, d_{yx})$, and if there is no arrow between x and y, we understand that $d_{xy} = d_{yx} = 0$. If $v(x \to y) = (1, 1)$ for all arrows $x \to y$ in Q, then v is said to be **trivial**. For each vertex $x \in Q_0$, two sets x^+ and x^- are defined by

$$x^+ = \{y \in Q_0 \mid x \to y \in Q_1\}, \quad x^- = \{y \in Q_0 \mid y \to x \in Q_1\}.$$

A quiver Q is **localy finite** if the number of vertices of $x^+ \cup x^-$ is finite for any $x \in Q_0$. In other words, Q is locally finite if there are only finitely many incoming and outgoing arrows at each vertex. A **stable translation quiver** (Q, τ) is given by a quiver which is locally finite with no multiple arrows, and a quiver automorphism τ satisfying $x^- = (\tau x)^+$.

1.6. **Remark.** In standard textbooks, loops are not allowed when we define a stable translation quiver. However, we note that the definition of a stable translation quiver in [Z] allows loops, and we adopt this definition of a stable translation quiver. □

A **valued stable translation quiver** consists of (Q, v, τ), where, (Q, v) is a valued quiver, (Q, τ) is a stable translation quiver, and if $v(x \to y) = (a, b)$, then $v(\tau y \to x) = (b, a)$. Riedtmann introduced the valued stable translation quiver $\mathbb{Z}\Delta$, where (Δ, v) is a valued quiver, as follows [Ri]:

- arrows are $(n, x) \to (n, y)$ and $(n - 1, y) \to (n, x)$ for $x \to y$ in Δ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

- valuations are defined by

$$v((n,x)\to(n,y))=(a,b),\quad v((n-1,y)\to(n,x))=(b,a)$$

  if $v(x\to y)=(a,b)$ in Δ,
- translations are defined by $\tau((n,x))=(n-1,x)$.

The valued stable translation quiver $\mathbb{Z}\Delta$ has no loops whenever Δ has no loops.

Let (Q,v,τ) be a connected valued stable translation quiver and x a vertex of Q. The vertex x is called **τ-periodic** if $x=\tau^k x$ for some $k>0$. If there are τ-periodic vertices in Q, then all vertices in Q are τ-periodic. In rhis case (Q,v,τ) is called **τ-periodic**. [HPR], [B]. (Q,v,τ) is said to be **smooth** if its valuation is trivial and $\sharp x^+=2$ for each vertex $x\in Q_0$. A **subadditive function** on (Q,v,τ) is a function d from Q_0 to the positive rational numbers satisfying $d(x)+d(\tau X)\geq\sum_{y\neq x}d_{yx}d(y)$ for each vertex $x\in Q_0$. A subadditive function d is called **additive** if $d(x)+d(\tau x)=\sum_{y\neq x}d_{yx}d(y)$ for any vertices $x\in Q_0$. In this paper, we require that $d(x)=d(\tau x)$. Then, the following theorem holds [Z, p.653, 669].

1.7. **Theorem.** Let (Q,v,τ) be a τ-non-periodic connected valued stable translation quiver which admits a non-zero subadditive function d such that its values are non-negative integer. Then, either

(i) (Q,v,τ) is smooth and d is both additive and bounded, or
(ii) (Q,v,τ) is of the form $\mathbb{Z}\Delta$ for some valued quiver Δ.

Moreover if Q has cyclic paths, then Q is smooth and d is additive.

1.8. **Definition.** Let (Q,τ) be a stable translation quiver. A full subquiver, say C, of Q is called a **component** of (Q,τ) provided that a proper subquiver of C satisfying the following two conditions does not exist.

(i) C is stable under the quiver automorphism τ,
(ii) C is a disjoint union of connected components of the underlying undirected graph.

A group, say G, of valued translation quiver automorphisms of (Q,τ) is called **admissible** if each G-orbit $Gx=\{g(x)\mid g\in G\}$ of $x\in Q_0$ intersects $y^-\cup\{y\}$ and $y^+\cup\{y\}$ in at most one vertex respectively, for each vertex $y\in Q_0$. For an admissible group G, we may define a valued stable translation quiver $Q/G=(Q/G,\tau_{Q/G})$ as follows:

- the vertices are the G-orbits in Q_0,
- the arrows are the G-orbits in Q_1,
- the translation $\tau_{Q/G}$ is induced by τ.

1.9. **The Riedtmann structure theorem.** Let (Q,τ) be a stable translation quiver without loops and C a component of (Q,τ). Then there is a directed tree T and an admissible group $G\subseteq\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}T)$ such that $C\simeq\mathbb{Z}T/G$ as a stable translation quiver. Moreover,

(1) the underlying undirected graph $\overline{T}$ of T is uniquely determined by C,
(2) the admissible group G is unique up to conjugation in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}T)$.

In Theorem 1.9, the underlying undirected tree $\overline{T}$ is called the **tree class** of the component C. The following lemma is useful to determine the shape of a valued quiver [B, Theorem 4.5.8].

1.10. **Theorem.** Let (Δ, v) be a valued quiver without loops and multiple arrows, and we assume that the underlying undirected graph $\overline{\Delta}$ is connected. Then the following hold.

(1) Suppose that (Δ, v) admits a subadditive function. Then the underlying undirected graph $\overline{\Delta}$ is either a Dynkin diagram or an Euclidean diagram.
(2) If (Δ, v) admits a subadditive function which is not additive, then $\overline{\Delta}$ is either a finite Dynkin diagram or A_∞.
(3) (Δ, v) admits an unbounded subadditive function if and only if $\overline{\Delta}$ is A_∞.

We define stable Auslander–Reiten quivers for symmetric $\mathcal{O}$-orders as follows [AKM].

1.11. **Definition.** Let A be a symmetric $\mathcal{O}$-order. A **stable Auslander–Reiten quiver** of A is a valued quiver such that

- vertices are isoclasses of non-projective A-lattices M such that $M \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is projective,
- valued arrows $M \xrightarrow{(a,b)} N$ for irreducible morphisms $M \to N$ where the value (a, b) of the arrow is given as follows.
  (i) For a minimal right almost split morphism $f : E \to N$, M appears a times in E as a direct summand.
  (ii) For a minimal left almost split morphism $g : M \to E$, N appears b times in E as a direct summand.

A component of a stable Auslander–Reiten quiver is defined in the similar way as stable translation quivers. Let C be a component of the stable Auslander–Reiten quiver of a symmetric $\mathcal{O}$-order A. By the definition, C can not have multiple arrows, and if M is a vertex of C, then there exists an almost split sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \tau M \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow M \to 0.$$

Thus $M^- = (\tau M)^+$ holds and C is a valued stable translation quiver.

2. The Kronecker algebra and almost split sequences

2.1. **Heller lattices of $\kappa[X, Y]/(X^2, Y^2)$.** Let $A = \mathcal{O}[X, Y]/(X^2, Y^2)$. In this subsection, we give a complete list of the Heller lattices of the indecomposable $A \otimes \kappa$-modules and examine its properties. Let k be a positive integer, and $\{e_l\}_{l=1,2,\dots,k}$ the canonical $\mathcal{O}$-basis of $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus k}$. We set $\{e_l, Xe_l, Ye_l, XYe_l\}_{l=1,2,\dots,k}$ as an $\mathcal{O}$-basis of the direct sum of k copies of A and call the $\mathcal{O}$-basis the canonical basis. Note that $A \otimes \kappa$ is a special biserial algebra since there is an isomorphism

$$\kappa[X, Y]/(X^2, Y^2) \simeq \kappa \left(\alpha \circlearrowleft e_1 \circlearrowright \beta \right) \Big/ (\alpha^2, \beta^2, \alpha\beta - \beta\alpha).$$

2.1. **Remark.** Let $\boldsymbol{k}$ be an algebraically closed field. Then, the path algebra $B = \boldsymbol{k}Q$ where Q is the Kronecker quiver

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \circ & \rightrightarrows & \circ \\ 2 & & 1 \end{array}$$

is also called the Kronecker algebra. For example, see [ASS]. The algebra B is not isomorphic to $A = \boldsymbol{k}[X, Y]/(X^2, Y^2)$ since B is hereditary but A is not, and they are related by an isomorphism

$$B \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{k} & 0 \\ \operatorname{rad}(A/\operatorname{soc}(A)) & \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that $\underline{\mathrm{mod}}$-$B \simeq \underline{\mathrm{mod}}$-$A/\mathrm{soc}(A)$. In particular, stable Auslander–Reiten quivers of B and $A/\mathrm{soc}(A)$ are isomorphic as valued quivers [ARS]. □

First we recall some basic facts on string modules over special biserial algebras [BR], [Erd]. Let $A = \boldsymbol{k}Q/(\rho)$ be a special biserial algebra, where $\boldsymbol{k}$ is an algebraically closed field, $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$ is a quiver and ρ is a set of relations for Q. Without loss of generality, we assume that ρ consists of zero-relations and commutativity relations. If $r = w_1 - w_2$ is a commutative relation, then we say that the paths w_1 and w_2 are contained in r, and define a set $\overline{\rho}$ as follows:

$$\overline{\rho} = \{\text{paths in } \rho\} \sqcup \{\text{paths contained in commutative relations in } \rho\}.$$

Given an arrow $\alpha \in Q_1$, the formal inverse α^{-1} is defined by the following formula:

$$s(\alpha^{-1}) = t(\alpha), \quad t(\alpha^{-1}) = s(\alpha).$$

Then we understand $(\alpha^{-1})^{-1} = \alpha$. A **string path** with length $n \geq 1$ in (Q, ρ) is a sequence $c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n$ satisfying the following properties:

(i) for $1 \leq i \leq n$, c_i are of the form α_i or α_i^{-1} for some $\alpha_i \in Q_1$,
(ii) for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $t(c_i) = s(c_{i+1})$,
(iii) for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $c_{i+1} \neq c_i^{-1}$,
(iv) for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, neither $c_i c_{i+1} \cdots c_j$ nor $c_j^{-1} c_{j-1}^{-1} \cdots c_i^{-1}$ belong to $\overline{\rho}$.

Let $C = c_1 \cdots c_n$ be a string path with length $n \geq 1$. The source $s(C)$, the target $t(C)$ and the formal inverse C^{-1} are defined by $s(c_1)$, $t(c_n)$ and $c_n^{-1} \cdots c_1^{-1}$ respectively. In addition, for each vertex $v \in Q_0$, two string paths with length 0, say $1_{(v,1)}$ and $1_{(v,-1)}$, are defined by $s(1_{(v,t)}) = t(1_{(v,t)}) = v$ for $t = 1, -1$. Then we define $1_{(v,t)}^{-1} = 1_{(v,-t)}$ for $t = 1, -1$.

For each string path C, a representation $M(C)$ of Q is constructed as follows: if C is of the form $1_{(v,t)}$ for some $v \in Q_0$ and $t \in \{1, -1\}$, then $M(C)$ is the simple representation $S(v)$ of Q associated with $v \in Q_0$. Assume that $C = c_1 \cdots c_n$ with length $n \geq 1$. Let $u : \{1, 2, \ldots, n+1\} \to Q_0$ be a map defined as

$$u(k) = \begin{cases} s(c_1) & \text{if } k = 1, \\ t(c_{k-1}) & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq n+1, \end{cases}$$

and let $M(C)_v$ be a $\boldsymbol{k}$-vector space with basis vectors z_i $(i \in u^{-1}(\{v\}))$ for $v \in Q_0$. If $\alpha : e \to e'$ is an arrow, then the coresponding $\boldsymbol{k}$-linear map $\varphi_\alpha : M(C)_e \longrightarrow M(C)_{e'}$ is defined by the following:

$$\varphi_\alpha : z_i \longmapsto \begin{cases} z_{i+1} & \text{if } \alpha = c_i, \\ z_{i-1} & \text{if } \alpha^{-1} = c_{i-1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $M(C) = (M(C)_v, \varphi_\alpha)_{v \in Q_0, \alpha \in Q_1}$ is a representation of Q which satisfies the relations in ρ, and $M(C)$ is called a **string module** over A. Note that string A-modules are indecomposable, and two string modules $M(C_1)$ and $M(C_2)$ are isomorphic if and only if two string paths C_1 and C_2 satisfy either $C_1 = C_2$ or $C_1 = C_2^{-1}$.

We return to the Kronecker algebra $A = \mathcal{O}[X, Y]/(X^2, Y^2)$. An $A \otimes \kappa$-module is given by a pair of square matrices X and Y which commute and have square zero. For simplicity, we visualize an $A \otimes \kappa$-module as follows:

- points represent basis vectors of the underlying κ-vector spaces,

- arrows of the form $\longrightarrow$ represent the action of X, and $\dashrightarrow$ represent the action of Y.

For example, the indecomposable projective module $A \otimes \kappa$ is described by

$$A \otimes \kappa = \kappa 1 \oplus \kappa X \oplus \kappa Y \oplus \kappa XY = \begin{array}{ccccc} & \overset{X}{\nearrow} & X & \overset{Y}{\searrow} & \\ 1 & & & & XY \\ & \underset{Y}{\searrow} & Y & \underset{X}{\nearrow} & \end{array} .$$

Let $m \geq 0, n \geq 1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1(\kappa) = \kappa \sqcup \{\infty\}$. Then there are non-projective indecomposable $A \otimes \kappa$-modules as follows:

(i) The indecomposable $A \otimes \kappa$-module $M(m)$ is given by the formula:

$$M(m) = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \kappa u_i\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{m} \kappa v_j\right) = \begin{array}{ccc} & & v_0 \\ u_1 & \dashrightarrow & v_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & v_{m-1} \\ u_m & \dashrightarrow & v_m \end{array}$$

(ii) The indecomposable $A \otimes \kappa$-module $M(-n)$ is given by the formula:

$$M(-n) = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} \kappa u_i\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} \kappa v_j\right) = \begin{array}{ccc} & & 0 \\ u_1 & \longrightarrow & v_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ v_n & \longrightarrow & v_n \\ u_{n+1} & \dashrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

(iii) The indecomposable $A \otimes \kappa$-module $M(\lambda)_n$ is given by the formula:

$$M(\lambda)_n = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \kappa u_i\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} \kappa v_j\right) = \begin{array}{ll} u_i & \longrightarrow v_i \\ u_1 & \dashrightarrow \lambda v_1 \\ u_i & \dashrightarrow \lambda v_i + v_{i-1} \end{array}$$

and for $\lambda = \infty$:

$$M(\infty)_n = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \kappa u_i\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} \kappa v_j\right) = \begin{array}{ccc} & & 0 \\ u_1 & \dashrightarrow & v_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & v_{n-1} \\ u_n & \dashrightarrow & v_n \end{array}$$

The above indecomposable $A \otimes \kappa$ modules form a complete set of isoclasses of non-projective indecomposable $A \otimes \kappa$-modules, and $M(0)$ is the unique simple module, and $A \otimes \kappa$ is the unique projective module, which also is the unique injective module. We understand that $M(\lambda)_0$ is zero for $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1(\kappa)$. Then $M(m)$, $M(-n)$, $M(0)_n$ and $M(\infty)_n$ are string $A \otimes \kappa$-modules.

2.2. **Remark.** Through the stable equivalence in Remark 2.1 between $\underline{\mathrm{mod}}$-$A\otimes\kappa/\mathrm{soc}(A\otimes\kappa)$ and $\underline{\mathrm{mod}}$-κQ, where Q is the Kronecker quiver, non-projective indecomposable $A\otimes\kappa$-modules correspond to indecomposable κQ-modules as follows:

$$M(m) = \kappa^m \underset{{}^t(0\ 1_m)}{\overset{{}^t(1_m\ 0)}{\rightrightarrows}} \kappa^{m+1}\ , \quad M(-n) = \kappa^{n+1} \underset{(0\ 1_n)}{\overset{(1_n\ 0)}{\rightrightarrows}} \kappa^n\ ,$$

$$M(\lambda)_n = \kappa^n \underset{J(n,\lambda)}{\overset{1_n}{\rightrightarrows}} \kappa^n\ , \quad M(\infty)_n = \kappa^n \underset{1_n}{\overset{J(n,0)}{\rightrightarrows}} \kappa^n\ ,$$

where $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 1$, $\lambda \in \kappa$ and $J(n,\lambda)$ is the Jordan normal form of size n for the eigenvalue λ. □

2.3. **Remark.** Almost split sequences of $A\otimes\kappa = \kappa[X,Y]/(X^2,Y^2)$ are known to be as follows:

$$0 \longrightarrow M(-1) \longrightarrow (A\otimes\kappa)\oplus M(0)\oplus M(0) \longrightarrow M(1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow M(n-1) \longrightarrow M(n)\oplus M(n) \longrightarrow M(n+1) \longrightarrow 0 \qquad \text{if } n \neq 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow M(\lambda)_n \longrightarrow M(\lambda)_{n-1}\oplus M(\lambda)_{n+1} \longrightarrow M(\lambda)_n \longrightarrow 0 \qquad n \geq 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1(\kappa).$$

□

In Remark 2.2, the sizes of the representing matrices of the actions of X and Y on $M(m)$ and $M(-n)$ are vertically long or horizontally long. Hence, in this paper, we call $M(m)$ and $M(-n)$ **horizontal** and **vertical**, respectively.

Let M be a non-projective $A\otimes\kappa$-module. Then the projective cover of M is given by

$$\pi_M : A^{\oplus\sharp\{u_i\}} \longrightarrow M, \quad e_i \mapsto u_i.$$

We denote it by π_p^+, π_p^-, π_p^λ or π_p^∞ according to the type of the module M:

$$\pi_M = \begin{cases} \pi_p^+ & \text{if } M = M(p), \\ \pi_p^- & \text{if } M = M(-p), \\ \pi_p^\lambda & \text{if } M = M(\lambda)_p, \\ \pi_p^\infty & \text{if } M = M(\infty)_p. \end{cases}$$

Then Heller lattices are direct summands of the following A-lattices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_p := \operatorname{Ker}(\pi_p^+) &= \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_i\right) \oplus \left(\mathcal{O}\varepsilon X e_1 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-1} \mathcal{O}(Xe_{i+1} - Ye_i)\right)\right) \\
&\quad \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Y e_i\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} \mathcal{O}XY e_i\right), \\
Z_{-p} := \operatorname{Ker}(\pi_p^-) &= \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p+1} \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_i\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} \mathcal{O}(Xe_i - Ye_{i+1}) \oplus \mathcal{O}Xe_{i+1}\right) \\
&\quad \oplus \left(\mathcal{O}Ye_1 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=2}^{p+1} \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Y e_i\right)\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p+1} \mathcal{O}XY e_i\right), \\
Z_p^\lambda := \operatorname{Ker}(\pi_p^\lambda) &= \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_i\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} \mathcal{O}\varepsilon X e_i\right) \oplus \Bigg(\mathcal{O}(Ye_1 - \lambda Xe_1) \\
&\quad \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-1} \mathcal{O}(Ye_{i+1} - \lambda Xe_{i+1} - Xe_i)\right)\Bigg) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} \mathcal{O}XY e_i\right), \\
Z_p^\infty := \operatorname{Ker}(\pi_p^\infty) &= \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_i\right) \oplus \left(\mathcal{O}Xe_1 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=2}^{p} \mathcal{O}\varepsilon X e_i\right) \\
&\quad \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-1} \mathcal{O}(Ye_i - Xe_{i+1}) \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Y e_p\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} \mathcal{O}XY e_i\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since Heller lattices have the property (♮), $Z_p \otimes \mathcal{K}$, $Z_{-p} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, $Z_p^\lambda \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $Z_p^\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$ are projective as $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$-modules. On the other hand, the unique projective indecomposable $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$-module is $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$, whose dimension is four. Thus we have:

2.4. **Lemma.** Let Z be a Heller lattice. Then $\operatorname{rank} Z$ is divisible by four.

2.5. **Proposition.** Let Z be a Heller lattice. Then the number of direct summands of Z is at most two.

Proof. In order to show the proposition, we compute an indecomposable decomposition of $Z \otimes \kappa$. If $Z = Z_p$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_p \otimes \kappa &= \left(\begin{array}{cc}
 & \varepsilon X e_1 \\
\varepsilon e_1 & \dashrightarrow \varepsilon Y e_1 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
 & \varepsilon Y e_{p-1} \\
\varepsilon e_p & \dashrightarrow \varepsilon Y e_p
\end{array}\right) \oplus \left(\begin{array}{cc}
 & -XY e_1 \\
Xe_2 - Ye_1 & \dashrightarrow XY e_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
 & (-1)^{p-1} XY e_{p-1} \\
(-1)^p(Xe_p - Ye_{p-1}) & \dashrightarrow (-1)^p XY e_p
\end{array}\right) \\
&\simeq M(p) \oplus M(p-1).
\end{aligned}
$$

If $Z = Z_{-p}$, then

$$Z_{-p} \otimes \kappa = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} & & 0 \\ \varepsilon e_1 & \longrightarrow & \varepsilon Y e_2 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & \varepsilon Y e_{p+1} \\ \varepsilon e_{p+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \right) \oplus \left(\begin{array}{ccc} & & 0 \\ Y e_1 & \longrightarrow & XY e_1 \\ X e_1 - Y e_2 & \longrightarrow & -XY e_2 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ (-1)^{p-1}(X e_p - Y e_{p+1}) & \longrightarrow & (-1)^p XY e_{p+1} \\ (-1)^p X e_{p+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \right)$$
$$\simeq M(-p) \oplus M(-p-1).$$

In the case of $Z = Z_p^\lambda$, we change the $\mathcal{O}$-basis of Z as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon e_i &\longrightarrow \varepsilon e_i, && i = 1, 2, \ldots, p, \\ \varepsilon X e_i &\longrightarrow \varepsilon X e_i, && i = 1, 2, \ldots, p, \\ -\lambda X e_1 + Y e_1 &\longrightarrow -\lambda X e_1 + Y e_1, \\ -\lambda X e_i - X e_{i-1} + Y e_i &\longrightarrow (-1)^{i+1}(-\lambda X e_i - X e_{i-1} + Y e_i), && i = 2, 3, \ldots, p, \\ XY e_i &\longrightarrow (-1)^{i+1} XY e_i, && i = 1, 2, \ldots, p, \end{aligned}$$

and set:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcll} u_i &:=& \varepsilon e_i, & i = 1, 2, \ldots, p, \\ v_i &:=& \varepsilon X e_i, & i = 1, 2, \ldots, p, \\ u_1' &:=& -\lambda X e_1 + Y e_1 & \\ u_i' &:=& (-1)^{i+1}(-\lambda X e_i - X e_{i-1} + Y e_i), & i = 2, 3, \ldots, p, \\ v_i' &:=& (-1)^{i+1} XY e_i, & i = 1, 2, \ldots, p. \end{array} \right.$$

Then there exist isomorphisms of $A \otimes \kappa$-modules

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} \kappa u_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} \kappa v_i \simeq M(\lambda)_p, \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} \kappa u_i' \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} \kappa v_i' \simeq M(-\lambda)_p.$$

Therefore $Z_p^\lambda \otimes \kappa$ is isomorphic to $M(\lambda)_p \oplus M(-\lambda)_p$.

Finally we consider the case of $Z = Z_p^\infty$. By computing an indecomposable decomposition of $Z \otimes \kappa$, we have

$$Z_p^\infty \otimes \kappa = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} & & \varepsilon X e_1 \\ \varepsilon e_1 & \dashrightarrow & \varepsilon X e_2 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & \varepsilon X e_p \\ \varepsilon e_p & \dashrightarrow & \varepsilon Y e_p \end{array} \right) \oplus \left(\begin{array}{ccc} & & XY e_1 \\ Y e_1 - X e_2 & \dashrightarrow & -XY e_2 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & (-1)^{p-2} XY e_{p-1} \\ (-1)^{p-2}(Y e_{p-1} - X e_p) & \dashrightarrow & (-1)^{p-1} XY e_p \end{array} \right)$$
$$\simeq M(p) \oplus M(p-1).$$

Therefore the number of indecomposable direct summands of $Z \otimes \kappa$ is at most two, which implies that Z does not have three or more indecomposable direct summands. □

By Proposition 2.5, the following proposition is obtained:

2.6. **Proposition.** Heller lattices Z_p, Z_{-p} and Z_p^∞ are non-projective indecomposable A-lattices with the property (♮).

Proof. We obtained an isomorphism $Z_p \otimes \kappa \simeq M(p) \oplus M(p-1)$ in the proof of Proposition 2.5. Assume that Z_p is decomposable. We write $Z_p = Z^1 \oplus Z^2$ with $Z^i \neq 0$ $(i = 1, 2)$. By the Krull–Schmidt–Azumaya theorem, we would obtain two isomorphisms $Z^1 \otimes \kappa \simeq M(p)$ and $Z^2 \otimes \kappa \simeq M(p-1)$. It follows that $\operatorname{rank} Z^1 = 2p+1$ and $\operatorname{rank} Z^2 = 2p-1$, which contradicts with Lemma 2.4. Therefore Z_p is an indecomposable A-lattice. The proofs of the indecomposability of Z_{-p} and Z_p^λ are similar. □

2.7. **Remark.** Let p be a positive integer such that p is either odd or 2. If p is odd, then Z_p^λ is an indecomposable A-lattice since $Z_p^\lambda \otimes \kappa \simeq M(\lambda)_p \oplus M(-\lambda)_p$. In the other case, if $p = 2$, then $\operatorname{End}_A(Z_2^\lambda)$ is local since one can check that $\operatorname{End}_A(Z_2^\lambda)$ has only two idempotents 0 and 1. However, we don't know yet whether Z_p^λ are indecomposable A-lattices for positive even integers p. We expect that they are. □

2.2. **Almost split sequence ending at Z_n.** From Proposition 2.6, Heller lattices of horizontal and vertical $A \otimes \kappa$ modules are indecomposable. Thus we may try to determine a component, say $\mathcal{C}$, of the stable Auslander–Reiten quiver that contains Z_n. The aim of this subsection is to show that Z_n $(n \in \mathbb{Z})$ appear on the boundary in $\mathcal{C}$ by computing $\mathscr{E}(Z_n)$. In order to calculate τZ_n, we introduce a new ordered $\mathcal{O}$-basis of Z_n for each n as follows: If $n > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n = {} & \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon X e_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}(Y e_1 - X e_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}XY e_1 \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon X e_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(Y e_2 - X e_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}XY e_2 \\ & \oplus \cdots \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_{n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon X e_{n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}(Y e_{n-1} - X e_n) \oplus \mathcal{O}XY e_{n-1} \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_n \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon X e_n \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Y e_n \oplus \mathcal{O}XY e_n. \end{aligned}$$

If $n = 0$, then

$$Z_0 = \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}X e_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}Y e_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}XY e_1.$$

We denote the above basis by $\mathbb{B}_n$ for each $n \geq 0$. In the remaining case, $n < 0$, we introduce two $\mathcal{O}$-bases of Z_n as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n = {} & \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon X e_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}Y e_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}XY e_1 \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon X e_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(Y e_2 - X e_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}XY e_2 \\ & \oplus \cdots \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_{|n|} \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon X e_{|n|} \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon(Y e_{|n|} - X e_{|n|-1}) \oplus \mathcal{O}XY e_{|n|} \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_{|n|+1} \oplus \mathcal{O}X e_{|n|+1} \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon(Y e_{|n|+1} - X e_{|n|}) \oplus \mathcal{O}XY e_{|n|+1} \\ \\ = {} & \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}(X e_1 - Y e_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}Y e_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}XY e_1 \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(X e_2 - Y e_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Y e_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}XY e_2 \\ & \oplus \cdots \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_{|n|} \oplus \mathcal{O}(X e_{|n|} - Y e_{|n|+1}) \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Y e_{|n|} \oplus \mathcal{O}XY e_{|n|} \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_{|n|+1} \oplus \mathcal{O}X e_{|n|+1} \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Y e_{|n|+1} \oplus \mathcal{O}XY e_{|n|+1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $n < 0$, we denote the former $\mathcal{O}$-basis of Z_n by $\mathbb{B}_n^1$, and the latter by $\mathbb{B}_n^2$.

2.8. **Proposition.** There exists an isomorphism $\tau Z_n \simeq Z_{n-1}$ for every integer n. Thus we obtain the following τ-orbit:

$$\cdots \xleftarrow{\tau} Z_{-2} \xleftarrow{\tau} Z_{-1} \xleftarrow{\tau} Z_0 \xleftarrow{\tau} Z_1 \xleftarrow{\tau} Z_2 \xleftarrow{\tau} \cdots$$

Proof. We compute τZ_n in the following five cases.

$$\text{(a) } n=1, \quad \text{(b) } n>1, \quad \text{(c) } n=0, \quad \text{(d) } n=-1, \quad \text{(e) } n<-1.$$

Suppose (a). Then, the projective cover of Z_1 is given by

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \pi_1 & : & A\oplus A & \longrightarrow & Z_1 \\ & & e_1 & \longmapsto & \varepsilon e_1, \\ & & e_2 & \longmapsto & XYe_1, \end{array}$$

and hence

$$\tau Z_1 = \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_1) = \mathcal{O}(-XYe_1+\varepsilon e_2)\oplus \mathcal{O}Xe_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}Ye_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_2 \simeq Z_0.$$

The last isomorphism is given by mapping

$$-XYe_1+\varepsilon e_2 \mapsto \varepsilon e_1, \quad Xe_2 \mapsto Xe_1, \quad Ye_2 \mapsto Ye_1, \quad XYe_2 \mapsto XYe_1.$$

Suppose (b). Then the projective cover of Z_n is given by

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \pi_n & : & A^{\oplus 2n-1} & \longrightarrow & Z_n \\ & & e_i & \longmapsto & \begin{cases} \varepsilon e_k & \text{if } i=2k-1,\ k=1,2,3,\dots,n-1, \\ Ye_{k-1}-Xe_k & \text{if } i=2k-2,\ k=1,2,3,\dots,n, \\ -\varepsilon e_n & \text{if } i=2n-1. \end{cases} \end{array}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau Z_n = \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_n) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n-2} \Big(& \mathcal{O}(Ye_{2k-1}-Xe_{2k+1}-\varepsilon e_{2k}) \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_{2k-1}-\varepsilon Xe_{2k}) \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}(-Xe_{2k+2}-Ye_{2k}) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-XYe_{2k}) \Big) \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_{2n-3}+Xe_{2n-1}-\varepsilon e_{2n-2}) \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_{2n-3}-\varepsilon Xe_{2n-2}) \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_{2n-1}-\varepsilon Ye_{2n-2}) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-XYe_{2n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

If we change the above $\mathcal{O}$-basis of τZ_n by using P defined as

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} -E_4 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & E_4 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -E_4 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & (-1)^{(n-1)}E_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

where E_4 is the identity matrix of size four, then the representing matrices of the actions of X and Y on τZ_n with respect to the new ordered $\mathcal{O}$-basis coincide with those on Z_{n-1} with respect to $\mathbb{B}_{n-1}$. It follows that $\tau Z_n \simeq Z_{n-1}$.

Suppose (c). Then the projective cover of Z_0 is given by

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \pi_0 & : & A\oplus A\oplus A & \longrightarrow & Z_0 \\ & & e_1 & \longmapsto & \varepsilon e_1, \\ & & e_2 & \longmapsto & Xe_1, \\ & & e_3 & \longmapsto & Ye_1, \end{array}$$

and hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau Z_0 = \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_0) &= \mathcal{O}(-Ye_1 + \varepsilon e_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-XYe_1 + \varepsilon Xe_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}Ye_3 \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_3 \\ &\quad \oplus \mathcal{O}(-Xe_1 + \varepsilon e_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}Xe_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_2 - Xe_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_2 \\ &\simeq Z_{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

The last isomorphism is given by mapping the l-th basis vector of τZ_0 to the l-th basis vector of Z_{-1}.

Next suppose (d) and (e). Then, the projective cover of Z_n is given by

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \pi_n : & A^{\oplus 2|n|+3} & \longrightarrow & Z_n \\ & e_i & \longmapsto & \begin{cases} \varepsilon e_k & \text{if } i = 2k-1,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots, |n|+1, \\ Ye_1 & \text{if } i = 2, \\ Ye_k - Xe_{k-1} & \text{if } i = 2k,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots, |n|-1, \\ Xe_{|n|+1} & \text{if } i = 2|n|+2, \\ Ye_{|n|+1} - Xe_{|n|} & \text{if } i = 2|n|+3. \end{cases} \end{array}$$

Thus an $\mathcal{O}$-basis of $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi_n)$ is obtained as follows: if $n = -1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau Z_{-1} = \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_{-1}) &= \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon e_2 - Ye_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(Xe_2 + Ye_5) \oplus \mathcal{O}Ye_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_2 \\ &\quad \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_3 - Xe_1 - \varepsilon e_5) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-Ye_4 + Xe_5) \\ &\quad \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_1 + \varepsilon Ye_5) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_5 \\ &\quad \oplus \mathcal{O}(Xe_3 - \varepsilon e_4) \oplus \mathcal{O}Xe_4 \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_3 + \varepsilon Ye_4) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_4\end{aligned}$$

and if $n < -1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau Z_n = \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_n) &= \mathcal{O}(Ye_1 - \varepsilon e_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_4 + Xe_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}Ye_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_2 \\ &\quad \bigoplus_{k=1}^{|n|-2} \Big(\mathcal{O}(Ye_{2k+1} - Xe_{2k-1} - \varepsilon e_{2k+2}) \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_{2k+4} + Xe_{2k+2}) \\ &\quad \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_{2k-1} + \varepsilon Ye_{2k+2}) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_{2k+2} \Big) \\ &\quad \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_{2|n|+1} - Xe_{2|n|-1} - \varepsilon e_{2|n|+3}) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-Ye_{2|n|+2} - Xe_{2|n|+3}) \\ &\quad \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_{2|n|-1} + \varepsilon Ye_{2|n|+3}) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_{2|n|+3} \\ &\quad \oplus \mathcal{O}(Xe_{2|n|+1} - \varepsilon e_{2|n|+2}) \oplus \mathcal{O}Xe_{2|n|+2} \\ &\quad \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_{2|n|+1} - \varepsilon Ye_{2|n|+2}) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_{2|n|+2}.\end{aligned}$$

We now consider the case (d). Let $\widetilde{P}$ be the 12×12 matrix defined as

$$\widetilde{P} = \begin{pmatrix} E_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P \end{pmatrix},$$

where $P = \mathrm{diag}(-1, 1, -1, 1)$. If we change the above basis of τZ_{-1} by using $\widetilde{P}$, then the representing matrices of the actions of X and Y on τZ_{-1} coincide with those for Z_{-2} with respect to the basis $\mathbb{B}^2_{-2}$, which implies that $\tau Z_{-1} \simeq Z_{-2}$. In the case (e), a new ordered

basis of τZ_n is introduced by

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} A & & & & 0 \\ & -A & & & \\ & & (-1)^2 A & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & (-1)^n A \\ & 0 & & & & S \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A = \mathrm{diag}(-1,1,1,1)$, and $S = (-1)^n \mathrm{diag}(-1,1,-1,1)$. Then the representing matrices of the actions of X and Y on τZ_n with respect to the new ordered $\mathcal{O}$-basis coincide with those on Z_{n-1} with respect to the basis $\mathbb{B}^2_{n-1}$. □

For the Heller lattices of band modules, the following proposition holds. However, we do not use the proposition 2.9 in the proof of the main result in this paper. We will report some result for the case of the band modules in the future.

2.9. **Proposition.** There exists an isomorphism $\tau Z_n^\lambda \simeq Z_n^\lambda$ for each positive integer n and for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1(\kappa)$.

Proof. The statement can be shown by using similar arguments to those in the proof of Proposition 2.8. First we prove the $\lambda = \infty$ case. In order to show that there is an isomorphism $\tau Z_n^\infty \simeq Z_n^\infty$ for each n, we introduce a new ordered $\mathcal{O}$-basis of Z_n^∞, say $\mathbb{B}_n^\infty$, as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n^\infty =& \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}Xe_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_1 - Xe_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_1 \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Xe_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_2 - Xe_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_2 \\ & \oplus \cdots \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_{n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Xe_{n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_{n-1} - Xe_n) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_{n-1} \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_n \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Xe_n \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Ye_n \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_n, \end{aligned}$$

and give the projective cover of Z_n^∞ as

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \pi_{n,\infty} & : & A^{\oplus 2n} & \longrightarrow & Z_n^\infty \\ & & e_i & \longmapsto & \begin{cases} \varepsilon e_1 & \text{if } i = 1, \\ Xe_1 & \text{if } i = 2, \\ Ye_k - Xe_{k+1} & \text{if } i = 2k+1,\ k = 1,2,\ldots,n-1, \\ \varepsilon e_k & \text{if } i = 2k,\ k = 2,3,\ldots,n. \end{cases} \end{array}$$

Then an $\mathcal{O}$-basis of $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi_{n,\infty})$ is obtained as follows. If $n = 1$, then

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\pi_{1,\infty}) = \mathcal{O}(-Xe_1 + \varepsilon e_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}Xe_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(-XYe_1 + \varepsilon Ye_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_2 \simeq Z_1^\infty.$$

If $n = 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_{2,\infty}) =& \mathcal{O}(-XYe_1 + \varepsilon e_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}Xe_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(-Xe_3 + Ye_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_2 \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}(-Ye_1 + Xe_4 + \varepsilon e_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-XYe_1 + \varepsilon Xe_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_4 + \varepsilon Ye_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_3 \\ \simeq & Z_2^\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $n \geq 3$. Then an $\mathcal{O}$-basis of the kernel of $\pi_{n,\infty}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ker}(\pi_{n,\infty}) = {} & \mathcal{O}(Xe_1 - \varepsilon e_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}Xe_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_2 - Xe_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_2 \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_1 - Xe_4 - \varepsilon e_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_1 - \varepsilon Xe_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_3 + Xe_5) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_3 \\ & \bigoplus_{k=2}^{n-2} \Big(\mathcal{O}(Ye_{2k} - Xe_{2(k+1)} - \varepsilon e_{2k+1}) \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_{2k} - \varepsilon Xe_{2k+1}) \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_{2k+1} + Xe_{2k+3}) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_{2k+1} \Big) \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_{2(n-1)} - Xe_{2n} - \varepsilon e_{2n-1}) \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_{2(n-1)} - \varepsilon Xe_{2n-1}) \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_{2n} + \varepsilon Ye_{2n-1}) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_{2n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $A = \mathrm{diag}(-1,1,1,1)$, $B = \mathrm{diag}(-1,-1,1,1)$. We change the $\mathcal{O}$-basis of $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi_{n,\infty})$ by using the matrix

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} A & & & & & & & 0 & \\ & B & & & & & & & \\ & & (-1)B & & & & & & \\ & & & (-1)^2B & & & & & \\ & & & & (-1)^3B & & & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & & & (-1)^{n-2}B & & \\ & 0 & & & & & & & S \end{pmatrix},$$

where $S = \mathrm{diag}(1,1,-1,-1)$. Then, we have an isomorphism $Z_n^\infty \simeq \tau Z_n^\infty$ since the representing matrices of the actions of X and Y on τZ_n^∞ with respect to the new $\mathcal{O}$-basis coincide with those on Z_n^∞ with respect to $\mathbb{B}_n^\infty$.

Next we prove the $\lambda \neq \infty$ case. In order to prove the statement, we introduce an $\mathcal{O}$-basis of Z_n^λ, say $\mathbb{B}_n^\lambda$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}Z_n^\lambda = {} & \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Xe_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_1 - \lambda Xe_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_1 \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Xe_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_2 - \lambda Xe_2 - Xe_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_2 \\ & \oplus \cdots \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon e_n \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Xe_n \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_n - \lambda Xe_n - Xe_{n-1}) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_n\end{aligned}$$

Then the projective cover of Z_n^λ is given by the following formula:

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \pi_{n,\lambda} & : & A^{\oplus 2n} & \longrightarrow & Z_n^\lambda \\ & & e_i & \longmapsto & \begin{cases} \varepsilon e_k & \text{if } i = 2k-1,\ k = 1,2,\dots n, \\ Ye_1 - \lambda Xe_1 & \text{if } i = 2, \\ Ye_k - \lambda Xe_k - Xe_{k-1} & \text{if } i = 2k,\ k = 2,3,\dots,n, \end{cases} \end{array}$$

and hence its kernel τZ_n^λ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon e_2 - Ye_1 + \lambda Xe_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon Xe_2 - XYe_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_2 + \lambda Xe_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_2 \\
&\oplus \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon e_4 - Ye_3 + \lambda Xe_3 + Xe_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon Xe_4 - XYe_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_4 + \lambda Xe_4 + Xe_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_4 \\
&\oplus \cdots \\
&\oplus \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon e_{2n} - Ye_{2n-1} + \lambda Xe_{2n-1} + Xe_{2n-3}) \oplus \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon Xe_{2n} - XYe_{2n-1}) \\
&\oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_{2n} + \lambda Xe_{2n} + Xe_{2n-2}) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_{2n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let P be a square matrix of size $4n+4$ given by

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} E_4 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -E_4 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (-1)^2 E_4 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & (-1)^n E_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the similar arguments as before, we change the $\mathcal{O}$-basis to a new $\mathcal{O}$-basis by using P and obtain an isomorphism $Z_n^\lambda \simeq \tau Z_n^\lambda$. □

It follows from Proposition 2.8 that if a non-projective part of a direct summand of the middle term of $\mathscr{E}(Z_n)$ is indecomposable for each n, then Heller lattices Z_n appear on the boundary in $\mathcal{C}$. Note that since the Auslander–Reiten translation τ is the syzygy functor on $\underline{\mathrm{mod}}$-A, τX does not vanish in $\mathcal{C}$. Thus, it is sufficient to consider the case of $n = 1$, and we construct $\mathscr{E}(Z_1)$.

Recall that the projective cover of $\pi_1 : A \oplus A \longrightarrow Z_1$ is given by $e_1 \longmapsto \varepsilon e$, $e_2 \longmapsto XYe$. Then the representing matrix of π_1 with respect to the canonical basis of $A \oplus A$ and the $\mathcal{O}$-basis $\mathbb{B}_1$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(Z_1, A \oplus A)$, and we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(\varepsilon e) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2} (a_{i1}e_i + a_{i2}Xe_i + a_{i3}Ye_i + a_{i4}XYe_i), \\
\psi(XYe) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2} (b_{i1}e_i + b_{i2}Xe_i + b_{i3}Ye_i + b_{i4}XYe_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon\psi(XYe) = XY\psi(\varepsilon e)$, the representing matrix of ψ with respect to the $\mathcal{O}$-basis $\mathbb{B}_1$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon b_{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{12} & \varepsilon b_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{13} & 0 & \varepsilon b_{14} & 0 \\ a_{14} & a_{13} & a_{12} & b_{14} \\ \varepsilon b_{24} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{22} & \varepsilon b_{24} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{23} & 0 & \varepsilon b_{24} & 0 \\ a_{24} & a_{23} & a_{22} & b_{24} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the set of endomorphisms of Z_1 factorizing through π_1 is

$$T := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \varepsilon\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & \varepsilon\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma & 0 & \varepsilon\alpha & 0 \\ \varepsilon\delta & \varepsilon\gamma & \varepsilon\beta & \varepsilon\alpha \end{array} \right) \;\middle|\; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{O} \right\}.$$

On the other hand, the endomorphism ring $\mathrm{End}_A(Z_1) \simeq \{M \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O}) \mid MX = XM,\ MY = YM\}$ is

$$\mathrm{End}_A(Z_1) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b & a & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & a & 0 \\ d & \varepsilon c & \varepsilon b & a \end{array} \right) \;\middle|\; a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{O} \right\}.$$

Since $f \in \operatorname{rad}\mathrm{End}_A(Z_1)$ if and only if $1 - gf$ is invertible for any $g \in \mathrm{End}_A(Z_1)$, we have

$$\mathrm{radEnd}_A(Z_1) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \varepsilon a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b & \varepsilon a & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & \varepsilon a & 0 \\ d & \varepsilon c & \varepsilon b & \varepsilon a \end{array} \right) \;\middle|\; a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{O} \right\}.$$

Therefore we may take an endomorphism φ which satisfies conditions (i) and (iii) from Proposition 1.5 as

$$\varphi = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

and we consider the pullback diagram along φ:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Z_0 & \longrightarrow & \overline{E}_1 & \longrightarrow & Z_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Z_0 & \longrightarrow & A \oplus A & \xrightarrow[\pi_1]{} & Z_1 & \longrightarrow & 0\,. \end{array}$$

Since Z_0 and Z_1 are indecomposable, the condition (ii) from Proposition 1.5 is also satisfied so that the upper short exact sequence is almost split, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{E}_1 =& \{(f_1, f_2, x) \in A \oplus A \oplus Z_1 |\ \pi_1(f_1, f_2) = \varphi(x)\} \\ =& \mathcal{O}(e_2 + \varepsilon e_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}Xe_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}Ye_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_2 \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_1 + \varepsilon^2 e_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon Xe_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon Ye_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_3). \end{aligned}$$

2.10. **Lemma.** $\overline{E}_1$ has exactly two indecomposable direct summands which are A and a non-projective indecomposable A-lattice.

Proof. Since there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{E}_1 \simeq& \mathcal{O}(e_2 + \varepsilon e_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(Xe_2 + \varepsilon Xe_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_2 + \varepsilon Ye_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_2 + \varepsilon XYe_3) \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2 e_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon Xe_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon Ye_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_3) \\ \simeq& A \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon^2 e_3 \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Xe_3 \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Ye_3 \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_3, \end{aligned}$$

A is a direct summand of $\overline{E}_1$. We denote

$$E_1 = \mathcal{O}\varepsilon^2 e_3 \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon X e_3 \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Y e_3 \oplus \mathcal{O}XY e_3.$$

Then E_1 is indecomposable since $E_1 \otimes \mathcal{K} \simeq A \otimes \mathcal{K}$. □

It follows from Lemma 2.10 that

$$\sharp\{\text{arrows starting at } Z_0 \text{ in } \mathcal{C}\} = \sharp\{\text{arrows ending at } Z_1 \text{ in } \mathcal{C}\} = 1.$$

Hence, the number of outgoing arrows and incoming arrows is one for each Z_n, respectively. To summarize, we have completed the proof of the following proposition.

2.11. **Proposition.** Let $0 \to Z_{n-1} \to \overline{E}_n \to Z_n \to 0$ be an almost split sequence of A-lattices ending at Z_n. Then the following hold.

(1) For $n \neq 1$, $\overline{E}_n$ are non-projective indecomposable A-lattices, and $\overline{E}_1$ is a direct sum of A and the non-projective indecomposable A-lattice E_1. In other words, Heller lattices Z_n appear on the boundary in $\mathcal{C}$.
(2) There are isomorphisms $\tau\overline{E}_n \simeq \overline{E}_{n-1}$ for $n \neq 1, 2$, $\tau\overline{E}_2 \simeq E_1$ and $\tau E_1 \simeq \overline{E}_0$.

From now on, we write $\overline{E}_n = E_n$ for $n \neq 1$.

2.3. **Almost split sequence ending at E_1.** The aim of this subsection is to show that the number of direct summands of the middle term, say $\overline{F}_n$, of $\mathscr{E}(E_n)$ is two for any n. By the similar argument to the sentence above Proposition 2.11, if there exists an integer m such that $\overline{F}_m$ has exactly two indecomposable direct summands, then so are $\overline{F}_n$ for all n. Thus it is enough to show that $\overline{F}_1$ has exactly two indecomposable direct summands. Now we construct $\mathscr{E}(E_1)$.

Define $p : A^{\oplus 4} \longrightarrow E_1 = \mathcal{O}\varepsilon^2 e \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon X e \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Y e \oplus \mathcal{O}XY e$ by

$$e_1 \longmapsto \varepsilon^2 e,\ e_2 \longmapsto \varepsilon X e,\ e_3 \longmapsto \varepsilon Y e,\ e_4 \longmapsto XY e.$$

Then the representing matrix of p with respect to the canonical basis of $A^{\oplus 4}$ and the above $\mathcal{O}$-basis of E_1 is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon^2 & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\mathrm{End}_A(E_1) \simeq \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b & a & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & a & 0 \\ d & c & b & a \end{pmatrix} \;\middle|\; a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{O} \right\},$$

the radical of $\mathrm{End}_A(E_1)$ is given by

$$\operatorname{rad}\mathrm{End}_A(E_1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b & \varepsilon a & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & \varepsilon a & 0 \\ d & c & b & \varepsilon a \end{pmatrix} \;\middle|\; a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{O} \right\}.$$

By similar arguments to the previous subsection, we obtain that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(E_1, A^{\oplus 4}) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \varepsilon^2 x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon y & \varepsilon x & 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon z & 0 & \varepsilon x & 0 \\ w & z & y & x \\ \varepsilon^2 a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon b & \varepsilon a & 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon c & 0 & \varepsilon a & 0 \\ d & c & b & a \\ \varepsilon^2 f & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon g & \varepsilon f & 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon h & 0 & \varepsilon f & 0 \\ i & h & g & f \\ \varepsilon^2 k & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon l & \varepsilon k & 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon m & 0 & \varepsilon k & 0 \\ n & m & l & k \end{array} \right) \middle| \; a,b,c,d,f,g,h,i,k,l,m,n,x,y,z,w \in \mathcal{O} \right\}.$$

Hence endmorphisms of E_1 which factor through p are of the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} \varepsilon^2 a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon^2 b & \varepsilon^2 a & 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon^2 c & 0 & \varepsilon^2 a & 0 \\ \varepsilon^2 d & \varepsilon^2 c & \varepsilon^2 b & \varepsilon^2 a \end{array} \right),$$

for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{O}$. Let

$$\varphi = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b & a & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & a & 0 \\ d & c & b & a \end{array} \right) \in \mathrm{End}_A(E_1), \quad f = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \varepsilon\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & \varepsilon\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma & 0 & \varepsilon & 0 \\ \delta & \gamma & \beta & \varepsilon\alpha \end{array} \right) \in \mathrm{rad}\mathrm{End}_A(E_1).$$

Then the composition of φ and f is

$$\varphi f = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \varepsilon\alpha a\beta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon\alpha b + a\beta & \varepsilon\alpha a & 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon\alpha c + a\gamma & 0 & \varepsilon\alpha a & 0 \\ \delta a + \gamma b + \beta c + \varepsilon\alpha d & \gamma a + \varepsilon\alpha c & \beta a + \varepsilon\alpha b & \varepsilon\alpha a \end{array} \right).$$

Let us consider the following pullback diagram along φ:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E_0 & \longrightarrow & \overline{F}_1 & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E_0 & \longrightarrow & A^{\oplus 4} & \xrightarrow[p]{} & E_1 & \longrightarrow & 0\,, \end{array}$$

where

$$\varphi = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Note that it satisfies the condition (i)-(iii) from Proposition 1.5. Then $\overline{F}_1$ is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{F}_1 = &\mathcal{O}(Xe_1 - \varepsilon e_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}Xe_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_1 - \varepsilon Ye_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_2 \\ &\oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_1 - \varepsilon e_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(Xe_3 - Ye_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}Ye_3 \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_3 \\ &\oplus \mathcal{O}(Xe_3 + \varepsilon^2 e) \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Xe \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Ye \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe \\ &\oplus \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2 e + \varepsilon e_4) \oplus \mathcal{O}(Xe_4 + \varepsilon Xe) \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_4 + \varepsilon Ye) \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_4 + \varepsilon XYe).\end{aligned}$$

2.12. **Lemma.** $\overline{F}_1$ is a direct sum of Z_0 and an indecomposable direct summand.

Proof. The A-lattice $\overline{F}_1$ is a direct sum of F_1 and F_1', where

$$\begin{aligned}F_1 =&\mathcal{O}(Xe_1 - \varepsilon e_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}Xe_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_1 - \varepsilon Ye_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_2 \\ &\oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_1 - \varepsilon e_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(Xe_3 - Ye_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}Ye_3 \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_3 \\ &\oplus \mathcal{O}(Xe_3 + \varepsilon^2 e) \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Xe \oplus \mathcal{O}\varepsilon Ye \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe, \\ F_1' =&\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon e_4 + \varepsilon^2 e+) \oplus \mathcal{O}(Xe_4 + \varepsilon Xe) \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_4 + \varepsilon Ye) \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_4 + \varepsilon XYe).\end{aligned}$$

Then the actions of X and Y on $\overline{F}_1$ with respect to the above basis are given by the following matrices:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
-\varepsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & & & 0 & & \\
0 & 0 & -\varepsilon & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
 & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
 & 0 & & & -\varepsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
 & & & & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
 & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & \\
 & 0 & & & & 0 & & & \varepsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & \\
 & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon & 0 & & & & \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & 0 & & & & 0 & & & & 0 & & & \varepsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & & 0 & & 0 & \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\
 & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & 0 & & & -\varepsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & 0 & & & & 0 & & & \varepsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & 0 & \varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & 0 & & & & 0 & & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & \varepsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we have an isomorphism $F_1' \simeq Z_0$. In order to show that F_1 is an indecomposable A-lattice, we prove that the endomorphism ring $\mathrm{End}_A(F_1)$ is local. Let $M = (x_{i,j}) \in \mathrm{End}_A(F_1)$ with $M = M^2$.

By the equalities $MX = XM$ and $MY = YM$, the idempotent M is of the form $M = (M_1 \ \ M_2)$, where M_1 and M_2 are 12×6 matrices as follows:

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
x_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\varepsilon x_{3,7} & 0 \\
x_{2,1} & x_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & -\varepsilon x_{4,7} & -\varepsilon x_{3,7} \\
x_{3,1} & x_{3,2} & x_{1,1} & 0 & x_{3,5} & x_{3,6} \\
x_{4,1} & x_{4,2} & x_{2,1} & x_{1,1} & x_{4,5} & x_{4,6} \\
-\varepsilon x_{3,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_{1,1} - \varepsilon x_{3,6} & 0 \\
x_{6,1} & -\varepsilon x_{3,2} & 0 & 0 & x_{6,5} & x_{1,1} - \varepsilon x_{3,6} \\
-\varepsilon x_{8,2} & 0 & \varepsilon^2 x_{3,2} & 0 & x_{7,5} & \varepsilon x_{3,2} \\
x_{8,1} & x_{8,2} & x_{8,3} & -\varepsilon x_{3,2} & x_{8,5} & x_{8,6} \\
x_{9,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_{9,5} & 0 \\
x_{10,1} & -x_{9,1} & 0 & 0 & -x_{12,7} & -x_{9,5} \\
x_{11,1} & 0 & \varepsilon x_{9,1} & 0 & x_{11,5} & x_{9,1} \\
x_{12,1} & -x_{11,1} & \varepsilon x_{10,1} & -\varepsilon x_{9,1} & x_{12,5} & x_{12,6}
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \varepsilon x_{3,11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & x_{2,9} & -\varepsilon x_{3,11} & 0 & 0 \\
x_{3,7} & 0 & x_{3,9} & -x_{8,12} & x_{3,11} & 0 \\
x_{4,7} & -\varepsilon x_{3,7} & x_{4,9} & x_{4,10} & x_{4,11} & -x_{3,11} \\
0 & 0 & -\varepsilon x_{8,12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & x_{6,9} & \varepsilon x_{8,12} & 0 & 0 \\
x_{1,1} - \varepsilon x_{3,6} & 0 & \varepsilon x_{8,10} & 0 & \varepsilon x_{8,12} & 0 \\
x_{8,7} & x_{1,1} - \varepsilon x_{3,6} & x_{8,9} & x_{8,10} & x_{8,11} & x_{8,12} \\
0 & 0 & x_{9,9} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & x_{10,9} & x_{9,9} & 0 & 0 \\
-x_{9,5} & 0 & x_{11,9} & 0 & x_{9,9} & 0 \\
x_{12,7} & -\varepsilon x_{9,5} & x_{12,9} & x_{11,9} & x_{12,11} & x_{9,9}
\end{pmatrix}$$

such that

$$\begin{cases} x_{2,9}+\varepsilon x_{3,7}-\varepsilon x_{4,11}=0,\\ \varepsilon x_{3,1}-\varepsilon x_{4,2}+x_{6,1}=0,\\ \varepsilon x_{3,9}+\varepsilon x_{4,10}+x_{6,9}=0,\\ x_{6,9}+x_{9,9}=x_{1,1}-\varepsilon x_{3,6}+\varepsilon x_{8,11},\\ x_{6,1}-x_{8,3}+x_{9,1}=0, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} x_{6,5}+\varepsilon x_{8,7}+x_{9,5}=0,\\ x_{7,5}-x_{8,3}+\varepsilon x_{8,6}=0,\\ x_{9,5}+x_{10,9}-x_{12,11}=0,\\ -x_{10,1}+x_{11,5}+x_{12,6}=0. \end{cases}$$

Note that, it follows that we have $x_{6,9}\in\varepsilon\mathcal{O}$ and $x_{9,9}=x_{1,1}-\varepsilon f$ for some $f\in\mathcal{O}$. Since M is an idempotent, the following equality holds:

$$x_{1,1}(1-x_{1,1})=\varepsilon x_{3,11}x_{9,1}+\varepsilon^2 x_{3,2}x_{3,7}. \tag{2.12.1}$$

Hence one of the following two conditions is satisfied.

(i) $x_{1,1}\equiv 0 \mod \varepsilon\mathcal{O}$,
(ii) $x_{1,1}\equiv 1 \mod \varepsilon\mathcal{O}$.

Assume that we are in case (i). By the assumption $x_{1,1}\in\varepsilon\mathcal{O}$, the element $x_{9,9}$ belongs to $\varepsilon\mathcal{O}$. By comparing the $(9,1)$-entries of M and M^2, we have

$$x_{9,1}=x_{1,1}x_{9,1}+x_{9,1}x_{9,9}-\varepsilon x_{3,2}x_{9,5}. \tag{2.12.2}$$

Thus $x_{9,1}\equiv 0 \pmod{\varepsilon\mathcal{O}}$ holds. It follows from the $(3,2)$-entries of M and M^2 that the following equality holds:

$$x_{3,2}=x_{1,1}x_{3,2}+x_{1,1}x_{3,2}-\varepsilon x_{3,2}x_{3,6}+x_{9,1}x_{8,12}. \tag{2.12.3}$$

From (2.12.2) and (2.12.3), we have

$$x_{3,2}(1-2x_{1,1}+\varepsilon x_{3,6}+\varepsilon x_{9,5}(1-x_{1,1}-x_{9,9})^{-1}x_{8,12})=0. \tag{2.12.4}$$

Thus the equalities $x_{3,2}=x_{9,1}=0$ hold, and it follows that $x_{1,1}=0$. Let $\overline{M}$ be M mod $\varepsilon\mathcal{O}$. As $M^2=M$, it suffices to show that $\overline{M}$ is the zero matrix to conclude that M itself is the zero matrix. Let e_i $(1\le i\le 12)$ be standard row vectors. Then, the span of e_1,e_5,e_9 is stable by $\overline{M}$ and the representing matrix is nilpotent. Thus $e_i\overline{M}=0$ holds for $i=1,5,9$. As

$$e_2\overline{M}=\overline{x_{2,1}}e_1+\overline{x_{2,9}}e_9,\quad e_6\overline{M}=\overline{x_{6,1}}e_1-\overline{x_{6,9}}e_9,\quad e_7\overline{M}=\overline{x_{7,5}}e_5,$$

we also obtain $e_i\overline{M}=0$ for $i=2,6,7$. Then the similar argument shows $e_i\overline{M}=0$ for $i=10,11$, and then for $3,12$, and finally for $i=4,8$.

Assume that we are in case (ii). Then, $E-M$ is an idempotent which satisfies (i), where E is the identity matrix, and $M=E$ follows. We have proved that $\mathrm{End}_A(F_1)$ is local. □

2.13. **Corollary.** Let $\overline{F}_n$ be the middle term of an almost split sequence ending at E_n. Then $\overline{F}_n$ is the direct sum of Z_{n-1} and a non-projective indecomposable A-lattice, say F_n. Moreover there are isomorphisms $\tau F_n\simeq F_{n-1}$ for any integer n.

3. Candidates for a component of the stable AR quiver that contains Z_n

In this section, we continue using the symbols of the previous section. The aim of this section is to give a complete list of candidates for the unique component, say $\mathcal{C}$, of the stable Auslander–Reiten quiver that contains the Heller lattices of horizontal and vertical

modules. From Proposition 2.11, Z_n appear on the boundary in $\mathcal{C}$, and from Corollary 2.13,

$$\sharp\{\text{arrows starting at } E_n \text{ in } \mathcal{C}\} = \sharp\{\text{arrows ending at } E_n \text{ in } \mathcal{C}\} = 2$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\mathcal{C}$ has the following subquiver:



The idea to determine candidates for $\mathcal{C}$ is to consider the following question:

3.1. **Question.** Are there arrows $X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that the number of arrows from X is two and the number of arrows from Y is not two? And if there are such arrows $X \to Y$, what is the minimum number of the length of a composition of irreducible morphisms from Z_l to Y?

An answer to Question 3.1 yields the main theorem in this paper.

3.1. **Valencies of vertices in $\mathcal{C}$.** In this subsection, we prove that the number of incoming and outgoing arrows for each vertex in $\mathcal{C}$ is at most three. In other words, there are at most three direct summands of a middle term of almost split sequences in $\mathcal{C}$. In order to answer Question 3.1, we introduce two functions d and d' as follows. Let $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and

$$\mathscr{E}(X): \quad 0 \longrightarrow \tau X \longrightarrow E_X \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0$$

an almost split sequence ending at X. Then $d(X)$ is defined to be the number of non-projective indecomposable direct summands of E_X, and $d'(X)$ is defined to be the number of non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $X \otimes \kappa$. Note that the equation

$$d(X) = d(\tau X) \tag{3.1.1}$$

holds.

3.2. **Lemma.** Let X, Y and Z be objects of *latt-A*, and $\mathscr{A} : 0 \to X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \to 0$ a short exact sequence. Then $\mathscr{A}$ induces the following reduced short exact sequence of $A \otimes \kappa$-modules

$$\overline{\mathscr{A}}: \quad 0 \longrightarrow X \otimes \kappa \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} Y \otimes \kappa \xrightarrow{\overline{g}} Z \otimes \kappa \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Since $- \otimes \kappa$ is a right exact functor, it is sufficient to show that $\overline{f}$ is injective. If $\overline{x} \in \mathrm{Ker}\left(\ \overline{f}\ \right)$, then $f(x) \in \varepsilon Y$ and we may choose $y \in Y$ such that $f(x) = \varepsilon y$. Then we have

$$0 = gf(x) = g(\varepsilon y) = \varepsilon g(y)$$

and it implies that $g(y) = 0$. Thus there exists $x' \in X$ such that $f(x') = y$. Since $\varepsilon f(x') = f(\varepsilon x') = f(x)$ and f is injective, we have $x = \varepsilon x'$. It implies that $\overline{f}$ is injective. □

The next proposition [K, Proposition 4.5] is effectual to determine $\mathcal{C}$.

3.3. **Proposition.** Let L be a non-projective indecomposable lattice over an $\mathcal{O}$-order Λ and

$$\mathscr{A}: \quad 0 \longrightarrow \tau L \longrightarrow E_L \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$$

an almost split sequence of *latt*-Λ ending at L. Suppose that L is not isomorphic to any direct summand of the Heller lattices of indecomposable $\Lambda\otimes\kappa$-modules. Then the reduced exact sequence

$$\overline{\mathscr{A}} : 0 \longrightarrow (\tau L)\otimes\kappa \longrightarrow E_L\otimes\kappa \longrightarrow L\otimes\kappa \longrightarrow 0$$

of $\Lambda\otimes\kappa$-modules is split.

By Proposition 3.3, if a short exact sequence $0\to\tau L\to\bigoplus_{i=1}^m E_L^i\to L\to 0$ is almost split, then the equality

$$d'(L)+d'(\tau L)=\sum_{i=1}^m d'(E_L^i) \tag{3.3.1}$$

is satisfied whenever L is not isomorphic to Z_n. We use the property (3.3.1) so as to determine candidates for $\mathcal{C}$, and we will conclude that $\mathcal{C}$ is one of $\mathbb{Z}\widetilde{E}_6, \mathbb{Z}\widetilde{E}_7, \mathbb{Z}\widetilde{E}_8$ or $\mathbb{Z}A_\infty$ in this section.

From now on, we calculate a projective cover of E_n for $n\le 0$. Let l_n be a positive integer such that there exists a projective cover $\pi_n : A^{\oplus l_n}\to E_n$. Then a sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\iota_n} A^{\oplus l_n} \xrightarrow{\pi_n} E_n \longrightarrow 0,$$

where ι_n is a canonical injection, is exact. By Lemma 3.2, the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \longrightarrow E_{n-1}\otimes\kappa \xrightarrow{\overline{\iota}_n} (A\otimes\kappa)^{\oplus l_n} \xrightarrow{\overline{\pi}_n} E_n\otimes\kappa \longrightarrow 0.$$

3.4. **Lemma.** Let n be 0 or -1. Then there is an isomorphism $E_n\otimes\kappa\simeq M(n-1)^{\oplus 4}$, and $l_n = 4|n|+8$.

Proof. Since the $\mathcal{O}$-basis of E_1 is given by

$$E_1 = \mathcal{O}\varepsilon^2 e_1\oplus\mathcal{O}\varepsilon X e_1\oplus\mathcal{O}\varepsilon Y e_1\oplus\mathcal{O}XY e_1,$$

$\pi_1 : A\oplus A\oplus A\oplus A\to E_1$ is given by

$$e_1\mapsto\varepsilon^2 e_1,\quad e_2\mapsto\varepsilon X e_1,\quad e_3\mapsto\varepsilon Y e_1,\quad e_4\mapsto XY e_1$$

and an $\mathcal{O}$ basis of its kernel is

$$\begin{aligned} E_0=\tau E_1 = {}& \mathcal{O}(Xe_1-\varepsilon e_2)\oplus\mathcal{O}Xe_2\oplus\mathcal{O}(Ye_2-\varepsilon e_4)\oplus\mathcal{O}XYe_2\\ &\oplus\mathcal{O}(Ye_1-\varepsilon e_3)\oplus\mathcal{O}(Xe_3-\varepsilon e_4)\oplus\mathcal{O}Ye_3\oplus\mathcal{O}XYe_3\\ &\oplus\mathcal{O}(XYe_1-\varepsilon^2 e_4)\oplus\mathcal{O}Xe_4\oplus\mathcal{O}Ye_4\oplus\mathcal{O}XYe_4. \end{aligned}$$

Applying $-\otimes\kappa$ to E_0, we have

$$E_0\otimes\kappa = \begin{pmatrix} Ye_1-\varepsilon e_3 & \overset{\nearrow 0}{\twoheadrightarrow} & XYe_1-\varepsilon^2 e_4\\ Xe_1-\varepsilon e_2 & \overset{\searrow}{\longrightarrow} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} Ye_2-\varepsilon e_4 & \overset{\nearrow 0}{\twoheadrightarrow} & XYe_2\\ Xe_2 & \overset{\searrow}{\longrightarrow} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\oplus \begin{pmatrix} Ye_3 & \overset{\nearrow 0}{\twoheadrightarrow} & XYe_3\\ Xe_3-\varepsilon e_4 & \overset{\searrow}{\longrightarrow} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} Ye_4 & \overset{\nearrow 0}{\longrightarrow} & XYe_4\\ Xe_4 & \overset{\searrow}{\longrightarrow} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore $E_0\otimes\kappa\simeq M(-1)^{\oplus 4}$. The projective cover $\pi_0 : A^{\oplus 8}\to E_0$ is given by

$$e_1\mapsto Xe_1-\varepsilon e_2,\quad e_2\mapsto Xe_2,\quad e_3\mapsto Ye_2-\varepsilon e_4,\quad e_4\mapsto Ye_1-\varepsilon e_3,$$

$$e_5 \mapsto Xe_3 - \varepsilon e_4, \quad e_6 \mapsto Ye_3, \quad e_7 \mapsto Xe_4, \quad e_8 \mapsto Ye_4,$$

and an $\mathcal{O}$-basis of its kernel is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_{-1} = \tau E_0 = {} & \mathcal{O}(Xe_1 + \varepsilon e_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}Xe_2 \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_1 + \varepsilon Ye_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_2 \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_1 + \varepsilon e_3 - Xe_4 - \varepsilon e_5) \oplus \mathcal{O}(Xe_3 - Ye_2 + \varepsilon e_7) \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_3 + \varepsilon e_8) \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_3 + \varepsilon Ye_7) \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_4 + \varepsilon e_6) \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_4 + \varepsilon Xe_6) \oplus \mathcal{O}Ye_6 \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_6 \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}(Xe_5 + \varepsilon e_7) \oplus \mathcal{O}Xe_7 \oplus \mathcal{O}(XYe_5 + \varepsilon Ye_7) \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_7 \\ & \oplus \mathcal{O}(Ye_5 - Xe_6 + \varepsilon e_8) \oplus \mathcal{O}(Xe_8 - Ye_7) \oplus \mathcal{O}Ye_8 \oplus \mathcal{O}XYe_8. \end{aligned}$$

Applying $- \otimes \kappa$ to E_{-1}, $E_{-1} \otimes \kappa$ is isomorphic to

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & 0 & \\ & -Ye_4 + \varepsilon e_6 & & -XYe_4 - \varepsilon Xe_6 \\ Ye_1 + \varepsilon e_3 - Xe_4 - \varepsilon e_5 & & XYe_1 + \varepsilon Ye_2 & \\ & Xe_1 + \varepsilon e_2 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} & & 0 & \\ & -Ye_3 - \varepsilon e_8 & & -XYe_3 - \varepsilon Ye_7 \\ -Xe_3 + Ye_2 - \varepsilon e_7 & & XYe_2 & \\ & Xe_2 & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\oplus \begin{pmatrix} & & 0 & \\ & Ye_6 & & XYe_6 \\ Ye_5 + \varepsilon e_8 - Xe_6 & & XYe_5 + \varepsilon Ye_7 & \\ & Xe_5 + \varepsilon e_7 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} & & 0 & \\ & -Ye_8 & & -XYe_8 \\ -Xe_8 + Ye_7 & & XYe_7 & \\ & Xe_7 & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore $E_{-1} \otimes \kappa \simeq M(-2)^{\oplus 4}$, and $l_{-1} = 12$. □

3.5. **Lemma.** For each non-positive integer n, there is an isomorphism

$$\Omega(M(n)) \simeq M(n-1),$$

where Ω is the syzygy functor on $\underline{\mathrm{mod}}$-$A \otimes \kappa$.

Proof. The statement of the lemma follows by induction on n. It is clear in the case of $n = 0$ by Lemma 3.4. Assume that the statement holds for $n \leq k \leq 0$, we will show that the statement is true in the case of $n - 1$. From Remark 2.3, there are isomorphisms

$$\Omega^2(M(l)) \simeq M(l-2)$$

for any l. Hence the induction hypothesis $\Omega(M(n)) = \Omega(n-1)$ implies

$$\Omega(M(n-1)) = \Omega^2(M(n)) \simeq M(n-2),$$

and the statement is true in the case of $n - 1$. □

3.6. **Lemma.** Let n be a non-positive integer. Then $E_n \otimes \kappa \simeq M(n-1)^{\oplus 4}$ and $l_n = 4|n| + 8$. Moreover, a surjective $A \otimes \kappa$-module homomorhism induced by a projective cover of E_n is a projective cover of $E_n \otimes \kappa$.

Proof. The lemma follows by induction on n, and the statement in the cases of $n = 0$ and $n = -1$ are true by Lemma 3.4. Assume that the statement holds for $n \leq k \leq 0$, we will show that the statement is true in the case of $n - 1$. By assumption, there exists an

isomorphism $E_n \otimes \kappa \simeq M(n-1)^{\oplus 4}$ and $\pi_n : A^{4|n|+8} \to E_n$. Since a projective cover of $M(n-1)$ is of the form

$$(A \otimes \kappa)^{\oplus(|n-1|+1)} \longrightarrow M(n-1),$$

a projective cover of $E_n \otimes \kappa$ is given by

$$(A \otimes \kappa)^{\oplus 4(|n-1|+1)} \longrightarrow E_n \otimes \kappa.$$

Since n is a non-positive integer, $4(|n-1|+1) = 4|n|+8$. Hence $\overline{\pi}_n$ is a projective cover of $E_n \otimes \kappa$, and

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\overline{\pi}_n) \simeq \Omega(E_n \otimes \kappa) \simeq \Omega(M(n-1)^{\oplus 4}) \simeq M(n-2)^{\oplus 4}.$$

Since a sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\iota_n} A^{\oplus 4|n|+8} \xrightarrow{\pi_n} E_n \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, we have $E_{n-1} \otimes \kappa \simeq M(n-2)^{\oplus 4}$. Thus we may lift the projective cover of $M(n-2)^{\oplus 4}$ to a projective cover of E_{n-1} by the Nakayama's lemma. □

It follows from Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 3.6 that we have:

3.7. **Proposition.** Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$. Then $d'(Z_m) = 2$ and $d'(E_n) = 4$.

Throughout Section 3, we use the following lemma, which follows from Lemma 3.9 (2) below.

3.8. **Lemma.** Let $M \in \mathcal{C}$ with $d'(M) = 2$. Then M is an indecomposable A-lattice.

For $M \in \mathcal{C}$, a pair of integers $(q(M), H(M))$ is defined as follows. If M is isomorphic to a Heller lattice Z_n, then $(q(M), H(M)) = (1, n)$. Otherwise, we may choose n such that a composition of irreducible morphisms $f_1 \circ \cdots \circ f_k : Z_n \to M$ has the minimum length, and define $(q(M), H(M)) = (k+1, n+k)$. By the definition of an Auslander–Reiten quiver, we obtain an equilateral triangle $T(M) \subset \mathcal{C}$ as follows:

- the vertices of $T(M)$ are M, Z_n and $Z_{H(M)}$,
- the edge $T(M)_1$ is a chain of irreducible morphisms from Z_n to M,
- the edge $T(M)_2$ is a chain of irreducible morphisms from M to $Z_{H(M)}$,
- the edge $T(M)_3$ is a chain of the Auslander–Reiten translation from $Z_{H(M)}$ to Z_n.

The set of vertices of $\mathcal{C}$ is written by a disjoint union of the following three sets:

$$\mathcal{C}_+ = \{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid H(X) > 0\},$$
$$\mathcal{C}_0 = \{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid H(X) = 0\},$$
$$\mathcal{C}_- = \{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid H(X) < 0\}.$$



From now on, we assume that $\mathcal{C} \neq \mathbb{Z}A_\infty$. Then there exists an A-lattice X such that

(i) the triangle $T(X)$ is contained in $\mathcal{C}_-$,
(ii) the number of outgoing arrows is two for each A-lattices on the edge $T(X)_1$ except for the endvertices,
(iii) the number of arrows from X is not two.

It follows from Proposition 3.7 and the equation 3.3.1 that

$$d'(M) = d'(\tau M) = 2q(M). \tag{3.8.1}$$

for $M \in T(X)$. Hence

$$d'(M) = d'(\tau M) = d'(\tau^2 M) = \cdots = 2q(M)$$

for $M \in T(X)$. Using Proposition 3.7 and results from Section 2, we may assume that $q(X) \geq 3$ and $H(X) = -1$.



We denote $d(X) = p$ and $q(X) = q$. Assume that $\mathscr{E}(X)$ is of the form

$$\mathscr{E}(X): \quad 0 \longrightarrow \tau X \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} W_i \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $W_p \in T(X)$. Then the neighborhood of X in $\mathcal{C}$ is given as follows.

(3.8.2)



If we write $d'(\tau W_i) = s_i$, $d'(W_i) = t_i$, then the values of d' of (3.8.2) are as follows:

(3.8.3)



3.9. **Lemma.** The following statements hold:

(1) we have the equalities:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} s_i = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} t_i = 2(q+1),$$

(2) s_i and t_i are positive even integers for any i,
(3) the inequality $s_i + t_i \geq 2q$ is satisfied for any i.

Proof. (1) We only prove the second equality: the case of s_i is similar. By (3.8.3), we have

$$4q = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} d'(W_i) + d'(W_p) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} t_i + 2(q-1).$$

It follows that (1) holds.
(2) For each i, Propositions 3.3 and 3.7 yield that there exists an isomorphism

$$W_i \otimes \kappa \simeq \bigoplus_{j \leq 0} M(j)^{\oplus n_j}$$

for some $n_j \geq 0$. This implies that the dimension of $W_i \otimes \kappa$ is the sum of t_i odd numbers. On the other hand, the rank of W_i is divisible by 4 since $W_i \in \mathcal{C}$ and ($\natural$). Therefore t_i is even and, by similar arguments, so is s_i.
(3) We consider an almost split sequence ending at W_i:

$$\mathscr{E}(W_i): \quad 0 \longrightarrow \tau W_i \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} E^i_{W_i} \oplus \tau X \longrightarrow W_i \longrightarrow 0.$$

From (3.3.1) and (3.8.3), we obtain that

$$s_i + t_i = d'(W_i) + d'(\tau W_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} d'(E^l_{W_i}) + d'(X) \geq d'(X) = 2q. \qquad \square$$

3.10. **Lemma.** Suppose that $q < \infty$. Then $d(X)$ is precisely three.

Proof. The inequality in Lemma 3.9 (3) implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (s_i + t_i) \geq 2(p-1)q.$$

On the other hand, the equality of (1) in Lemma 3.9 implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (s_i + t_i) = 4(q+1).$$

Thus the inequality $q(4 - 2p + 2) \geq -4$ is obtained, and we have $p \leq 5$. Then $q \geq 3$ yields $p - 1 = 0, 1, 2$. If $p = 1$, then $q = -1$ from (3.8.3), a contradiction. If $p = 2$, then $s_i = t_i = 2(q+1)$. It contradicts with the maximality of q. Therefore $p = 3$. $\square$

It follows from Lemma 3.10 that if $q < \infty$, then $\mathscr{E}(X)$ is of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \tau X \longrightarrow W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus Y \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0$$

with $q(Y) = q - 1$. Thus, the neighborhood of X in $\mathcal{C}$ is given as follows:

(3.10.1)



3.11. **Lemma.** The three non-projective indecomposable A-lattices W_1, W_2 and Y are pairwise non-isomorphic. In other words, the valuations of the arrows in (3.10.1) are trivial.

Proof. Assume that $Y \simeq W_1 \simeq W_2$. Then $\mathscr{E}(X)$ becomes

$$0 \longrightarrow \tau X \longrightarrow Y^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus the values of d' of the above almost split sequence satisfy the following equality:

$$4q = 3 \times 2(q-1),$$

and hence $q = 3$. Therefore $\mathcal{C}$ is of the following form.



On the other hand, there are isomorphisms

$$E_i \otimes \kappa \simeq M(i-1)^{\oplus 4}, \quad Z_i \otimes \kappa \simeq M(i) \oplus M(i-1), \tag{3.11.1}$$

for $i \leq 0$. Using Proposition 3.3, we have isomorphisms

$$F_i \otimes \kappa \simeq M(i-2)^{\oplus 3} \oplus M(i-1)^{\oplus 3} \tag{3.11.2}$$

for $i \leq 0$. However, $E_{-1} \otimes \kappa^{\oplus 3}$ can not be a direct summand of $(F_0 \otimes \kappa) \oplus (F_{-1} \otimes \kappa)$, which contradicts with Proposition 3.3. Therefore there exists a non-isomorphic pair in W_1, W_2 and Y.

We show that $W_1 \not\simeq W_2$ by contradiction. Suppose that $W_1 \simeq W_2$. Then $\tau W_1 \simeq \tau W_2$ and Y is not isomorphic to W_1, and the neighborhood of X in $\mathcal{C}$ is given by the diagram:



Indeed if we write the value $W_1 \xrightarrow{(a,b)} X$, then clearly $a = 2$ by assumption. Then $\mathscr{E}(X)$ becomes

$$0 \longrightarrow \tau X \longrightarrow W_1^{\oplus 2} \oplus Y \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0$$

along with the equalities $d'(X) = 2q$ and $d'(Y) = 2(q-1)$. (3.3.1) implies that

$$4q = 2(q-1) + 2d'(W_1),$$

and hence $d'(W_1) = q+1$. Similarly we have $d'(\tau W_1) = q+1$. Thus the following equality is satisfied:

$$d'(W_1) + d'(\tau W_1) = 2q + 2.$$

The values of d' of an almost split sequence ending at W_1

$$\mathscr{E}(W_1): \quad 0 \longrightarrow \tau W_1 \longrightarrow X^{\oplus b} \oplus E_{W_1} \longrightarrow W_1 \longrightarrow 0$$

imply that $2q + 2 \geq 2bq$. Thus we have $b = 1$.

Thus the values of d' of the neighborhood of X in $\mathcal{C}$ are given by

(3.11.3)



,

where the third row in (3.11.3) consists of the values of d' of $\tau^k X$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$. In particular, since $4 \geq q+1$, we have $q = 3$ and $\mathcal{C}$ consists of:

(3.11.4)



By the isomorphisms (3.11.1) and (3.11.2),

$$\tau W_1^{\oplus 2} \otimes \kappa \simeq M(-3)^{\oplus 3} \oplus M(-2)^{\oplus 2} \oplus M(-1)^{\oplus 3}$$

since $\mathscr{E}(F_0)$ is of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow F_{-1} \longrightarrow \tau W_1^{\oplus 2} \oplus E_{-1} \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

It is a contradiction, hence $W_1 \not\simeq W_2$.

Finally, we show that $W_i \not\simeq Y$ by contradiction. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $W_2 \simeq Y$. Then $\mathscr{E}(X)$ becomes

$$0 \longrightarrow \tau X \longrightarrow Y^{\oplus 2} \oplus W_1 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0$$

along with the equalities $d'(X) = 2q$ and $d'(Y) = 2(q-1)$ and $d'(W_1) = 4$. Thus one can draw the following picture whose vertices are the values of d' and the third row consists of the values of d' of $\tau^k X$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$:

(3.11.5)



Then we obtain $8 \geq 2q$ from (3.11.5) and $q = 3$ or 4. If $q = 3$, then $8 - 2q = 2$, and it follows that $\mathcal{C}$ consists of:



Thus we compute:

$$E_{-1} \otimes \kappa \simeq M(-2)^{\oplus 4},$$
$$F_0 \otimes \kappa \simeq M(-2)^{\oplus 3} \oplus M(-1)^{\oplus 3},$$
$$F_{-1} \otimes \kappa \simeq M(-3)^{\oplus 3} \oplus M(-2)^{\oplus 3}.$$

Then it is impossible that $(E_{-1} \otimes \kappa)^{\oplus 2}$ is a direct summand of $(F_{-1} \otimes \kappa) \oplus (F_0 \otimes \kappa)$, and $q \neq 3$ follows. If $q = 4$, then $8 - 2q = 0$, and $\mathcal{C}$ consists of:



Since there exists an isomorphism

$$(\tau^2 W_1 \otimes \kappa) \oplus (\tau W_1 \otimes \kappa) \simeq M(-4)^{\oplus 3} \oplus M(-3)^{\oplus 5} \oplus M(-2)^{\oplus 5} \oplus M(-1)^{\oplus 3},$$

$(F_{-1}\otimes\kappa)^{\oplus 2}$ is not a direct summand of $(\tau^2 W_1\otimes\kappa)\oplus(\tau W_1\otimes\kappa)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $W_2\not\simeq Y$, and we have completed the proof of the lemma. □

3.2. **Candidates for $\mathcal{C}$.** The aim of this subsection is to show that the only candidates for the tree class of $\mathcal{C}$ are $\widetilde{E}_6$, $\widetilde{E}_7$ or $\widetilde{E}_8$ if $q<\infty$. From Lemma 3.9, s_1 and t_1 are positive even integers, Thus one can write

$$s_1 = 2k, \quad t_1 = 2k'$$

for some positive integers k and k'. By the picture (3.8.3), we have $s_2 = 2(q-k+1)$ and $t_2 = 2(q-k'+1)$. Moreover, since

$$s_2 + t_2 = 2(q-k+1) + 2(q-k'+1) = 2(2q+2-k-k') \geq 2q,$$

we obtain the inequality $q+2 \geq k+k'$. As $s_1+t_1 = 2(k+k') \geq 2q$ holds by Lemma 3.9 we have $k+k' \geq q$. Hence

$$3 \leq q \leq k+k' \leq q+2,$$

and it follows that k' is one of $q-k, q-k+1$ or $q-k+2$. Note that the neighborhood of X in $\mathcal{C}$ is of the following form.

(3.11.6)



From now on throughout this section, we understand that the letter which is surrounded by a double circle in a picture corresponds to the A-lattice X. Recall that $H(X) = -1$ and $d'(E_n) = 4$ for $n \leq 0$.

3.12. **Lemma.** Suppose that $k' = q-k$. Then the only possible tree class of $\mathcal{C}$ is $\widetilde{E}_8$.

Proof. Assume that $k' = q-k$. The following picture is obtained by substituting vertices of (3.11.6) with their values of d' which are calculated by using (3.3.1).

(3.12.1)



Note that if letters "4"in the first row of the above picture correspond to A-lattices U_n, then one of the following two cases occurs:

(i) U_n are indecomposable, or
(ii) U_n are the direct sum of non-zero indecomposable A-lattices U_n^1 and U_n^2,

and if we are in the second case, then "4"denote $d'(U_n^1)+d'(U_n^2)$. However the second case does not occur. Indeed, if $U_n \simeq U_n^1 \oplus U_n^2$, then the following picture, which splits "4"in (3.12.1) into two letters "2"is obtained:



Thus we have

$$4 - 2(k+1) = 2 - 2k \geq 0,$$

and hence $k = 1$. This implies that $2q = 4$, a contradiction. Thus U_n are indecomposable A-lattices. The first and second rows of (3.12.1) imply that k is one of $1, 2$ or 3.

Assume that $k = 1$. Then the picture (3.12.1) becomes

(3.12.2)



and the right hand side of (3.12.2) implies that $q = 3$ or 4 since $8 - 2q \geq 0$. If $q = 3$, then we obtain the following picture by adding a continuance in writing on the top of (3.12.2):

(3.12.3)



Note that, an A-lattice which corresponds the circled letters "4"is indecomposable since the left of the circled letters "4"is "2". The part which is surrounded by the dotted line in (3.12.3) implies that there exists an arrow from "2"of the top left corner other than α. On the other hand, β is the only arrow from "2"which is from the second from the left upper end. These contradict each other. Therefore $q \neq 3$. If $q = 4$, then the picture

(3.12.2) becomes:

(3.12.4)



Therefore it is impossible that $q = 4$ by similar arguments to the case of $q = 3$.

Next we assume that $k = 2$. Then the picture (3.12.1) is of the form

(3.12.5)



Extending the top of (3.12.5), the following picture is obtained:

(3.12.6)



Thus the inequality

$$(8 - 2q) + (8 - 2q) - (10 - 2q) = 6 - 2q \geq 0$$

is satisfied, and it implies that $q = 3$. Since $8 - 2q = 2$ and $10 - 2q = 4$, the part which is surrounded in the dotted line in (3.12.6) can correspond to a certain almost split sequence, say $0 \to \tau L \to E_L \to L \to 0$. In particular E_L is an indecomposable A-lattice with $d'(E_L) = 10 - 2q$. However the middle part of (3.12.6) yields

$$(8 - 2q) + (8 - 2q) - 2 = 2,$$

and it contradicts that E_L is indecomposable.

Finally we assume that $k = 3$. Then the following picture is obtained from (3.12.1):

(3.12.7)



The part which is surrounded by the dotted line in (3.12.7) implies that indecomposable A-lattices U_n appear in the upper boundary in $\mathcal{C}$. Moreover we have $q = 6$ by the equality $8 = 2(q-2)$. Therefore the picture (3.12.7) becomes:

(3.12.8)



Extending the left hand side of (3.12.8), we have:

(3.12.9)



,

where these unknown letters are the values of d'. Since $d'(E_0) = 4$, the values of d' of vertices in $\mathcal{C}_0$ are given by the above picture. From (3.3.1), $x = 4$. The equality $12 = 6+6$ from the third row of (3.12.9) yields $y = 6$, and hence $d'(E_1) = 4$. By using the same argument repeatedly, we obtain $d'(E_n) = 4$ for any positive integers n. It follows that the function d' is additive. Thus $\mathcal{C}$ is either smooth or $\mathbb{Z}\Delta$ for some valued quiver Δ by using Theorem 1.7. If $\mathcal{C}$ has loops, then $\mathcal{C}$ is smooth, which contradicts since Heller lattices Z_n satisfy $\sharp Z_n^+ = 1$. Thus $\mathcal{C}$ is not smooth and has no loops or multiple arrows. Hence one can apply Theorem 1.10 to $\mathcal{C}$. We conclude that $\Delta = \widetilde{E}_8$. □

3.13. **Lemma.** Suppose that $k' = q - k + 1$. Then the only possible tree class of $\mathcal{C}$ is $\widetilde{E}_6$.

Proof. Assume that $k' = q - k + 1$. Then the values of d' of (3.11.6) are

(3.13.1)



with $k'' = d'(\tau^2 W_1)$. By the similar arguments for k, we can conclude that k'' is one of $q-k$, $q-k+1$ or $q-k+2$. If $k'' = q-k$, then the left hand side of (3.13.1) implies that the short exact sequence $0 \to \tau^2 W_1 \to \tau X \to \tau W_1 \to 0$ is almost split. Thus so is $0 \to \tau W_1 \to X \to W_1 \to 0$, and its values of d' are

$$0 \longrightarrow 2k \longrightarrow 2q \longrightarrow 2(q-k+1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

This contradicts with (3.3.1) and $k'' \neq q-k$ follows. Similarly, we have $k'' \neq q-k+2$. Hence

$$d'(\tau^m W_1) = \begin{cases} 2(q-k+1) & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ 2k & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \qquad d'(\tau^m W_2) = \begin{cases} 2(q-k+1) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ 2k & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

and we obtain the following picture by extending to the top of (3.13.1):

(3.13.2)



Since the inequality $4 \geq 2k \geq 0$ holds by (3.13.2), k is 1 or 2. If $k = 1$, then $4 \geq 2q$ and it contradicts with $q \geq 3$. If $k = 2$, then $4 - 2(q-1) \geq 0$, and hence $q = 3$. Moreover, indecomposable A-lattices which correspond to those letters "2"in the first and the second rows of (3.13.2) appear on the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$, and we may assume that $X \simeq F_0$. Then the function d' is additive. To see this, we focus on the following subquiver of $\mathcal{C}$:

(3.13.3)



If we write $d'(E_1) = x$ and $d'(\tau^{-1}W_2) = y$, then the values of d' of (3.13.3) are given as follows.



We obtain $x = y = 4$ by (3.3.1). It implies that $d'(E_n) = 4$ for $n \geq 0$ and that d' is additive by using the same argument repeatedly. Thus $\mathcal{C}$ has no loops by Theorem 1.7. It follows from Theorem 1.10 that the tree class of $\mathcal{C}$ is $\widetilde{E}_6$. □

3.14. **Lemma.** Suppose that $k' = q-k+2$. Then the tree class of $\mathcal{C}$ is either $\widetilde{E}_7$ or $\widetilde{E}_8$.

Proof. Assume that $k' = q - k + 2$. The following picture is given by substituting vertices of (3.11.6) with their values of d'.

(3.14.1)



Note that if the letters "4"in the first row of the above picture correspond to A-lattices U_n, then either

(i) U_n are indecomposable, or
(ii) U_n are the direct sum of non-zero indecomposable A-lattices U_n^1 and U_n^2,

and if we are in the second case, then "4"denotes $d'(U_n^1) + d'(U_n^2)$. However, the second case does not occur. Indeed, if $U_n \simeq U_n^1 \oplus U_n^2$, then we obtain the following picture to split "4"in (3.14.1) into two letters "2":

(3.14.2)



It follows from the left hand side (I) of the above picture that

$$4 - 2(q - k + 2) = 2(k - q) \geq 0,$$

and hence $k \geq q \geq 3$. On the other hand, (II) implies that

$$4 - 2k \geq 0,$$

and hence $2 \geq k$. These two conclusions contradict each other. Thus U_n are indecomposable A-lattices.

Since $8 - 2k \geq 0$ from (3.14.1), k is one of $1, 2, 3$ or 4. However by the definition of a stable Auslander–Reiten quiver, $k = 1$ is impossible since there exists $2(k-1)$ in (3.14.1). Assume that $k = 2$. Substituting k with 2 in (3.14.1), we get the following picture:

(3.14.3)



Focusing on the circled letters "4"and the part which is surrounded by the dotted line in the above picture, we obtain $q = 3$. Extending the top of (3.14.3) we obtain:

(3.14.4)



Then the circled letters "2"and "4"in (3.14.4) contradict each other.

Assume that $k = 3$. We substitute k with 3 in (3.14.1), and extend the top. Then we obtain the following picture:

(3.14.5)



From the part which is surrounded by the dotted line in (3.14.5), we deduce the inequality $8 - 2(q - 1) \geq 0$, and hence q is one of $3, 4$ or 5. However $q \neq 5$ since both of "2"and "$10 - 2q$"exist in the third row of (3.14.5), and $q \neq 3$ since values $8 - 2q$ and $14 - 4q$ are not zero but $6 - 2q = 0$. If $q = 4$, then the first and the second rows of (3.14.5) vanish, and the third row would be the upper boundary of $\mathcal{C}$. Moreover the function d' preserves values under τ, and $\mathcal{C}$ has no loops and multiple arrows by similar arguments to those in the proof of the Lemmas 3.12 and 3.13. Therefore $\mathcal{C}$ is $\mathbb{Z}\widetilde{E}_7$ in this case.

Assume that $k = 4$. In this case, we conclude that $\mathcal{C}$ is $\mathbb{Z}\widetilde{E}_8$ by similar arguments to those in the proof of Lemma 3.12, and the proof of this lemma has been completed. □

4. The main result

The main result in this paper is the following.

4.1. **Theorem.** Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a complete discrete valuation ring, and $A = \mathcal{O}[X, Y]/(X^2, Y^2)$. Then the component of the stable Auslander–Reiten quiver for *latt*-A that contains Heller lattices of vertical and horizontal modules is $\mathbb{Z}A_\infty$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the component of the stable Auslander–Reiten quiver for *latt*-A that contains Heller lattices of vertical and horizontal modules. Assume that $q < \infty$. By the

lemmas 3.12, 3.13 and 3.14, it is sufficient to show that the tree class of $\mathcal{C}$ is not one of $\widetilde{E}_6, \widetilde{E}_7$ and $\widetilde{E}_8$.

First we suppose that $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{Z}\widetilde{E}_6$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ has the following subquiver with bounds U_n and V_n:

(4.1.1)



and we consider the ranks of vertices of (4.1.1) as $\mathcal{O}$-modules. By writing the rank of each vertex in (4.1.1), we obtain

(4.1.2)



where these unknown letters are the ranks of the corresponding vertices. Thus we have the following system of linear equations:

$$\begin{cases} \beta + \beta' &= y' & \cdots\cdots\cdots(1) \\ \alpha + \alpha' &= x' & \cdots\cdots\cdots(2) \\ x + y &= 20 & \cdots\cdots\cdots(3) \\ x + x' &= 12 + \alpha & \cdots\cdots\cdots(4) \\ y + y' &= 12 + \beta & \cdots\cdots\cdots(5) \end{cases}$$

From the four equations $(1), (2), (4)$ and (5), we have $x = 12 - \alpha'$ and $y = 12 - \beta'$. Using these two equations and (3), we have

$$\alpha' + \beta' = 4.$$

This contradicts that positive integers α' and β' are divisible by 4. Therefore $\mathcal{C} \neq \mathbb{Z}\widetilde{E}_6$.

Next we suppose that $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{Z}\widetilde{E}_7$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ has the following subquiver with upper bounds U_n:

(4.1.3)



By writing the rank of each vertex in (4.1.3), we obtain:

(4.1.4)



where these unknown letters are the ranks of the corresponding vertices. Thus we have the following system of linear equations:

$$\begin{cases} x+y &= 32 & \cdots\cdots\cdots(1)\\ x'+y' &= 32 & \cdots\cdots\cdots(2)\\ x''+y'' &= 40 & \cdots\cdots\cdots(3)\\ x'''+y''' &= 60 & \cdots\cdots\cdots(4)\\ x+x' &= 20+\alpha & \cdots\cdots\cdots(5)\\ x'+x'' &= 24+\alpha' & \cdots\cdots\cdots(6)\\ x''+x''' &= 40+\alpha'' & \cdots\cdots\cdots(7) \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} y+y' &= 20 & \cdots\cdots\cdots(8)\\ y'+y'' &= 24 & \cdots\cdots\cdots(9)\\ y''+y''' &= 40 & \cdots\cdots\cdots(10)\\ x'+\gamma &= \alpha+\alpha' & \cdots\cdots\cdots(11)\\ x''+\gamma' &= \alpha'+\alpha'' & \cdots\cdots\cdots(12)\\ \gamma+\gamma' &= \alpha' & \cdots\cdots\cdots(13) \end{cases}$$

From equations (1), (2), (5) and (8), the following equation has to be satisfied:

$$64 = x+y+x'+y' = 40+\alpha.$$

So $\alpha = 24$. Similarly, the equations (2), (3), (6) and (9) yield $\alpha' = 24$, and the equations (3), (4), (7) and (10) yield $\alpha'' = 20$. By adding both sides of the equations (11) and (12), we obtain the equation

$$x'+x''+\gamma+\gamma' = 92.$$

From (13), the above equation implies that $x'+x'' = 68$, which contradicts (6). Since the above system of linear equations has no solution, $\mathcal{C}$ is not $\mathbb{Z}\widetilde{E}_7$.

Finally assume that $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{Z}\widetilde{E}_8$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ has the following subquiver with upper bounds V_n with $H(V_n) = n + 7$:

(4.1.5)



The ranks of vertices in (4.1.5) are given by

(4.1.6)



such that these unknown values satisfy the following system of linear equations:

$$\begin{cases} x+y &= 60 & \cdots\cdots\cdots(1) \\ x'+y' &= 60 & \cdots\cdots\cdots(2) \\ x''+y'' &= 68 & \cdots\cdots\cdots(3) \\ x+x' &= 44+\alpha & \cdots\cdots\cdots(4) \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} x'+x'' &= 48+\beta & \cdots\cdots\cdots(5) \\ y+y' &= 44 & \cdots\cdots\cdots(6) \\ y'+y'' &= 48 & \cdots\cdots\cdots(7) \\ \alpha+\beta &= x' & \cdots\cdots\cdots(8) \end{cases}$$

From $(1), (2), (4)$ and (6), we obtain

$$120 = x + x' + y + y' = 88 + \alpha,$$

and hence $\alpha = 32$. Similarly, using equations $(2), (3), (5)$ and (7), we have $\beta = 32$. Equation (8) implies that $x' = 64$, which contradicts equation (2). So, the above system of linear equations has no solutions, and we conclude that $\mathcal{C} \neq \mathbb{Z}\widetilde{E}_8$. Therefore $q = \infty$, that is, $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{Z}A_\infty$. □

References

[AKM] S. Ariki, R. Kase and K. Miyamoto, On Components of stable Auslander -Reiten quivers that contains Heller lattices: the case of truncated polynomial rings, arXiv:1408.6452v2, 2014, to appear in Nagoya Math. J.

[ASS] I. Assem, D. Simson and A. Skowroński, Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras, London Mathematical Society Student Texts **65**, 2006.

[A] M. Auslander, Functors and morphisms determined by objects, Proc. Conf. on Representation Theory, Philadelphia, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Math. **37**, Marcel Dekker, 1978.

[AR] M. Auslander and I. Reiten, Almost split sequences for Cohen-Macaulay modules, Math. Ann. **277** ,1987, 345–349.

[ARS] M. Auslander, I. Reiten and S. Smalø, Representation Theory of Artin Algebras, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics **36**, Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[B] D. Benson, Representations and Cohomology, I: Basic representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics **30**, 1991.

[BR] M. C. R. Butler and C. M. Ringel, Auslander–Reiten sequence with few middle terms and applications to string algebras, Comm. Algebra **15**, 1987, no. 1-2, 145–179.

[CR] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, Methods of Representation Theory. Vol. I. With Applications to Finite Groups and Orders. Pure and Applied Mathematics. John Wiley & Sons Inc., 1981.

[Erd] K. Erdmann, Blocks of tame representation type and related algebras, Springer-Verlag, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1990.

[HPR] D. Happel, U. Preiser and C. M. Ringel, Vinberg's characterization of Dynkin diagrams using subadditive function with application to DTr-periodic modules. Representation Theory II, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **832**, Springer-Verlag, 1979, 280–294.

[K] S. Kawata, On Heller lattices over ramified extended orders, J. Pure and Applied Algebra **202**, 2005, 55–71.

[Ri] C. Riedmann, Algebren, Darstellungsköcher, Überlagerungen und zurück, Comm. Math. Helv. **55**, 1980, 199–224.

[Z] Y. Zhang, The structure of stable components, Canadian Journal of Mathematics vol. **43**(3), 1991, 652–672.

Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

E-mail address: k-miyamoto@cr.math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp